A Multicenter, Adaptive, Randomized, Blinded Controlled Trial of the Safety and Efficacy of Investigational Therapeutics for Hospitalized Patients with Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome Associated with COVID-19

Short Title: <u>The</u>rapeutics for <u>Severely III Inpatients</u> with <u>CO</u>VID-19 (TESICO) INSIGHT Protocol Number: 015 / ACTIV-3b

Version: 1.0, 15 March 2021

Funded by the USG COVID-19 Therapeutics Response through National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI) and National Institute for Allergy and Infectious Disease (NIAID), National Institutes of Health (NIH),

sponsored by NIAID,

and carried out by a collaboration of

International Network for Strategic Initiatives in Global HIV Trials (INSIGHT)

Prevention and Early Treatment of Acute Lung Injury (PETAL) Network

Cardiothoracic Surgical Trials Network (CTSN)

Department of Veterans Affairs, USA

INSIGHT consists of the University of Minnesota (INSIGHT Statistical and Data Management Center (SDMC) in collaboration with six International Coordinating Centers (ICCs)

-Centre of Excellence for Health, Immunity and Infection (CHIP), Rigshospitalet, University of Copenhagen - Copenhagen, Denmark

-Medical Research Council (MRC) Clinical Trials Unit at University College London (UCL) - London, United Kingdom

-The Kirby Institute, University of New South Wales - Sydney, Australia

-The Institute for Clinical Research at the Veterans Affairs Medical Center - Washington, D.C., United States of America (US)

-Department of Veterans Affairs, USA

-Division of Clinical Research, NIAID, USA

Table of Contents

1	Pro	tocol Summary	5
2	Intro	oduction	7
	2.1	Study rationale	7
	2.2	Background	7
	2.2.	1 SARS-CoV-2 Infection and Coronavirus Disease 19 (COVID-19)	7
	2.2.	2 Natural history of COVID-19	7
	2.2.	3 Hospitalization of people with COVID-19	8
	2.2.	4 Viral kinetics of SARS-CoV-2 infection	8
	2.2.	5 COVID-19 ARDS, attributes and treatments	9
	2.2.	6 Current treatment strategies for COVID-19	10
	2.3	Investigational Agents	10
3	Ris	k/Benefit Assessment	11
	3.1	Known Potential Risks	11
	3.1.	1 Risks of Drawing Blood and IV Catheterization	11
	3.1.	2 Risks due to Study Treatments	11
	3.1.	3 Risks to Privacy	11
	3.2	Known Potential Benefits	11
4	3.2 Out	Known Potential Benefits	11 12
4	3.2 Out 4.1	Known Potential Benefits comes Primary and Secondary Outcomes to Evaluate Efficacy and Safety	11 12 12
4	3.2 Out 4.1 4.1.	Known Potential Benefits comes Primary and Secondary Outcomes to Evaluate Efficacy and Safety 1 Rationale for primary outcome	11 12 12 13
4	3.2 Out 4.1 4.1. 4.1.	Known Potential Benefits icomes Primary and Secondary Outcomes to Evaluate Efficacy and Safety 1 Rationale for primary outcome 2 Secondary outcomes	11 12 12 13 14
4	3.2 Out 4.1 4.1. 4.1. 4.1.	Known Potential Benefits comes Primary and Secondary Outcomes to Evaluate Efficacy and Safety Rationale for primary outcome Secondary outcomes Rationale for secondary outcomes	11 12 12 13 14 15
4	3.2 Out 4.1 4.1. 4.1. 4.1. 0bj	Known Potential Benefits comes Primary and Secondary Outcomes to Evaluate Efficacy and Safety Rationale for primary outcome Secondary outcomes Rationale for secondary outcomes ectives	11 12 12 13 13 15 15
4	3.2 Out 4.1 4.1. 4.1. 4.1. Obj 5.1	Known Potential Benefits comes Primary and Secondary Outcomes to Evaluate Efficacy and Safety Rationale for primary outcome Secondary outcomes Rationale for secondary outcomes Primary Objective	11 12 12 13 13 15 15 15
4	3.2 Out 4.1 4.1. 4.1. 4.1. 0bj 5.1 5.2	Known Potential Benefits comes Primary and Secondary Outcomes to Evaluate Efficacy and Safety Rationale for primary outcome Secondary outcomes Rationale for secondary outcomes ectives Primary Objective. Secondary Objectives.	11 12 12 13 13 15 15 15 16
4 5 6	3.2 Out 4.1 4.1. 4.1. 0bj 5.1 5.2 Stu	Known Potential Benefits Primary and Secondary Outcomes to Evaluate Efficacy and Safety 1 Rationale for primary outcome 2 Secondary outcomes 3 Rationale for secondary outcomes ectives Primary Objective Secondary Objectives	11 12 12 13 13 14 15 15 15 16 16
4 5 6	3.2 Out 4.1 4.1. 4.1. 0bj 5.1 5.2 Stu 6.1	Known Potential Benefits comes Primary and Secondary Outcomes to Evaluate Efficacy and Safety 1 Rationale for primary outcome 2 Secondary outcomes 3 Rationale for secondary outcomes ectives Primary Objective Secondary Objectives dy Design Randomization and Stratification	11 12 12 13 13 14 15 15 15 16 16 17
4 5 6	3.2 Out 4.1 4.1. 4.1. 0bj 5.1 5.2 Stu 6.1 6.2	Known Potential Benefits rcomes Primary and Secondary Outcomes to Evaluate Efficacy and Safety 1 Rationale for primary outcome 2 Secondary outcomes 3 Rationale for secondary outcomes ectives Primary Objective Secondary Objectives dy Design Randomization and Stratification Blinding	11 12 12 13 13 14 15 15 15 16 16 17 18
4 5 6	3.2 Out 4.1 4.1. 4.1. 0bj 5.1 5.2 Stu 6.1 6.2 6.3	Known Potential Benefits comes Primary and Secondary Outcomes to Evaluate Efficacy and Safety Rationale for primary outcome Secondary outcomes ectives Primary Objective Secondary Objectives dy Design Randomization and Stratification Blinding Sample size assumptions	11 12 12 12 13 13 14 15 15 15 15 16 16 17 18 18
4 5 6	3.2 Out 4.1 4.1. 4.1. 0bj 5.1 5.2 Stu 6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4	Known Potential Benefits comes Primary and Secondary Outcomes to Evaluate Efficacy and Safety 1 Rationale for primary outcome 2 Secondary outcomes 3 Rationale for secondary outcomes ectives Primary Objective Secondary Objectives dy Design Randomization and Stratification Blinding Sample size assumptions Schedule of Assessments	11 12 12 12 13 13 14 15 15 15 15 16 16 17 18 18 19
4 5 6	3.2 Out 4.1 4.1. 4.1. 0bj 5.1 5.2 Stu 6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4 6.5	Known Potential Benefits icomes Primary and Secondary Outcomes to Evaluate Efficacy and Safety 1 Rationale for primary outcome 2 Secondary outcomes 3 Rationale for secondary outcomes 3 Rationale for secondary outcomes ectives Primary Objective Secondary Objectives Secondary Objectives dy Design Randomization and Stratification Blinding Sample size assumptions Schedule of Assessments Approach to Intercurrent Therapies and Clinical Trial Co-enrollment	11 12 12 12 13 13 14 15 15 15 15 15 16 16 16 17 18 18 19 20

<u>Therapeutics for Severely III Inpatients with COVID-19 (TESICO) Master Protocol</u> Version 1.0, 15 March 2021	21
7.2 Exclusion Criteria	22
7.3 Costs to Participants	22
8 Study Product	22
9 Study Assessments and Procedures	22
9.1 Screening/Baseline and Follow-up Assessments	
9.1.1 Screening/Baseline Assessments	22
9.1.2 Follow-up Assessments	24
9.1.3 Stored Samples and Future Research	25
10 Safety Assessment	25
10.1 Definitions	27
10.1.1 Adverse Event (AE)	27
10.1.2 Criteria for Seriousness	28
10.1.3 Unanticipated Problems	28
10.1.4 Severity	28
10.1.5 Causality	29
10.1.6 Expectedness	29
10.2 Schedule for Reporting of Specific Events	30
10.2.1 Infusion-related reactions	30
10.2.2 Grade 3 and 4 clinical adverse events on days of study drug administration, ar days 0–5, 14, and 28	nd 30
10.2.3 Protocol-specified exempt serious events (PSESEs)	30
10.2.4 Reportable SAEs	31
10.2.5 Unanticipated Problems (UPs)	32
10.2.6 Deaths	32
10.2.7 Pregnancy	32
10.3 Medical Monitor	33
10.4 Halting Enrollment for Safety Reasons	33
11 Statistical Analyses and Monitoring Guidelines	33
11.1 Analysis of the Primary Efficacy Endpoint	33
11.2 Analyses of Secondary Efficacy Endpoints, Safety Outcomes, and Subgroups	34
11.3 Data Monitoring Guidelines for an Independent DSMB	35
11.3.1 Monitoring Guidelines for Interim Analyses	36
12 Protection of Human Subjects and Other Ethical Considerations	36
12.1 Participating Clinical Sites and Local Review of Protocol and Informed Consent	36
12.2 Ethical Conduct of the Study	37

<u>Therapeutics for Severely III Inpatients with COVID-19 (TESICO) Master Protocol</u> Version 1.0, 15 March 2021

/ersion	1.0, 15 March 2021	
12.3	Informed Consent of Study Participants	. 37
12.4	Confidentiality of Study Participants	. 37
12.5	Regulatory Oversight	. 37

List of Tables

Table 1 Categories of the primary endpoint	12
Table 2 Estimated Distribution of Endpoint Categories Used for Power Calculation	19
Table 3 Overview of Safety Data Collection	27
Table 4 Generic AE Grading Scale	29

Version 1.0, 15 March 2021

1 Protocol Summary

<u>DESIGN</u> TESICO (Therapeutics for Severely III Inpatients with COVID-19) is a master protocol to evaluate the safety and efficacy of investigational agents aimed at improving outcomes for patients with acute respiratory failure related to COVID-19. The focus in this master protocol, a sister protocol to the TICO master protocol, is on patients with critical respiratory failure (i.e., those receiving high-flow nasal cannula, non-invasive ventilation, invasive mechanical ventilation or ECMO to treat acute hypoxemic respiratory failure caused by SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia).

Trials within this protocol will be adaptive, randomized, blinded and initially placebo-controlled. Participants will receive standard of care (SOC) treatment as part of this protocol. If an investigational agent shows superiority over placebo, SOC for the study of future investigational agents may be modified accordingly.

The international trials within this protocol will be conducted in several hundred clinical sites. Participating sites are affiliated with networks funded by the United States National Institutes of Health (NIH) and the US Department of Veterans Affairs.

The protocol is for a phase III randomized, blinded, controlled platform trial that allows investigational agents to be added and dropped during the course of the study for efficient testing of new agents against control within the same trial infrastructure. When more than one agent is being tested concurrently, participants may be randomly allocated across agents (as well as between the agent and its placebo) so the same control group can be shared, when feasible. In some situations, a factorial design may be used to study multiple agents.

The primary endpoint is a 6-category ordinal outcome that assesses the recovery status of the patient at Day 90. The categories of the ordinal outcome, from best to worst, start with 3 categories of "recovery" defined by the number of days alive at home and not on new supplemental oxygen, followed by 3 categories for "not recovered" defined as a) discharged but not to home or at home but still requiring continued new supplemental oxygen, b) hospitalized or receiving hospice care, and c) death at day 90. The definition of home will be operationalized as the level of residence or facility where the participant was residing prior to hospital admission leading to enrollment in this protocol.

- <u>DURATION</u> Participants will be followed for 90 days following randomization for the primary endpoint and most secondary endpoints. Selected secondary endpoints will be measured at 180 days.
- SAMPLE SIZE This Phase III trial is planned to provide 80% power to detect an odds ratio of 1.5 for improvement in recovery status at Day 90 for an investigational agent versus placebo with use of the ordinal outcome. The planned sample size is 640 participants (320 per group) for each investigational agent / placebo. Sample size may be re-estimated before enrollment is complete based on an assessment of whether the pooled proportions of the outcome are still

Version 1.0, 15 March 2021

consistent with adequate power for the hypothesized difference measured by the odds ratio.

- <u>POPULATION</u> All participants enrolled will include inpatient adults (≥18 years) who have documented SARS-CoV-2 infection within 14 days of enrollment and are receiving high-flow nasal cannula, non-invasive ventilation, invasive mechanical ventilation, or ECMO at enrollment, in whom the current respiratory failure is thought to be due to SARS-CoV-2 infection and in whom respiratory support was initiated within 4 days prior to randomization.
- <u>STRATIFICATION</u> Randomization will be stratified by study site pharmacy and by receipt of invasive mechanical ventilation or ECMO at enrollment. Other agent-specific stratification factors may be considered.
- <u>REGIMEN</u> Investigational agents suitable for testing in the inpatient setting will be prioritized based on in vitro data, preclinical data, phase I pharmacokinetic and safety data, and clinical data from completed and ongoing trials. In some cases, a vanguard cohort/initial pilot phase may be incorporated into the trial.
- MONITORING An independent DSMB will review interim safety and efficacy data at least monthly. Pre-specified guidelines will be established to recommend early stopping of the trial for evidence of harm or substantial efficacy. The DSMB may recommend discontinuation of an investigational agent if the risks are judged to outweigh the benefits.

Version 1.0, 15 March 2021

2 Introduction

2.1 Study rationale

COVID-19 is a respiratory disease caused by a novel coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2). While most cases are mild or asymptomatic, progressive disease can result in hospitalization, requirement for mechanical ventilation, and substantial morbidity and mortality.¹ While the most common mode of disease progression is progressive respiratory failure following the development of pneumonia, other severe complications including thrombosis and ischemia are increasingly recognized.^{2,3} Patients with respiratory failure, which in COVID-19 is likely best termed Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome (ARDS), have extremely high morbidity and mortality. Novel treatments for these patients are an urgent clinical and public health need. (We use the term ARDS interchangeably with acute respiratory failure in this master protocol.)

Several clinical trials utilizing novel drugs and repurposing older agents have been implemented to investigate the treatment of adults hospitalized with severe or critical COVID-19 (see section 2.2.6). Standard-of-care is hence rapidly evolving (see Appendix I for current recommendations).

2.2 Background

2.2.1 SARS-CoV-2 Infection and Coronavirus Disease 19 (COVID-19)

In December 2019, the Wuhan Municipal Health Committee identified an outbreak of viral pneumonia cases of unknown cause. A novel coronavirus was rapidly identified by sequencing and named SARS-CoV-2, and the illness caused by infection with SARS-CoV-2 has been named COVID-19.⁴ While SARS-CoV-2 mostly causes a mild respiratory illness, some individuals, particularly those who are elderly^{5,6} and have comorbidities,⁷ may progress to severe disease requiring hospitalization, mechanical ventilation in intensive care units, and death. As of 5 October 2020, less than seven months following the declaration of a pandemic on 11 March 2020 by the World Health Organization (WHO), there have been more than 35 million cases diagnosed and more than 1 million deaths worldwide.¹ Over 300,000 cases continue to be reported daily.⁵

2.2.2 Natural history of COVID-19

SARS-CoV-2 has a median incubation period of 4 days (interquartile range [IQR] 2-7 days)⁸ and the mean serial interval defined as the time duration between a primary case-patient (infector) having symptom onset and a secondary case-patient (infectee) having symptom onset for COVID-19 was calculated as 3.96 (95% confidence interval [CI] 3.53–4.39) days.⁹ COVID-19 illness is predominantly a respiratory disease typified by upper respiratory symptoms in mild cases and pneumonia and ARDS in advanced disease. Initial symptoms typically involve the upper respiratory tract with cough, sore throat and malaise. Fever is present in approximately 44-98% of cases. Notably, persons with COVID-19 often experience loss of smell and taste.¹⁰

Complications of COVID-19 illness include cytopenias (lymphopenia, thrombocytopenia and anemia), and acute cardiac events (elevated troponin, changes on electrocardiogram), vasopressor-dependent shock, acute kidney injury and dialysis-dependent renal failure, liver impairment, and neurological events including acute cerebrovascular events, impaired consciousness, muscle injury and thrombotic events.

In most patients (approximately 80%) symptoms resolve without the need for intervention within five to seven days of symptom onset up to a maximum of 14 days. However,

<u>The</u>rapeutics for <u>Severely III Inpatients with COVID-19 (TESICO) Master Protocol</u> Version 1.0, 15 March 2021

approximately 20% of patients show signs of clinical disease progression, most notably pneumonia, around day 3 to 8 following symptom onset. Other manifestations of disease progression include thrombotic episodes including stroke and myocardial infarction (MI). This resembles the documented 6-8 fold excess risk of thrombosis when patients are infected with influenza virus.¹¹

A proportion of those who progress then further deteriorate, including with the development of ARDS around 1-5 days after onset of respiratory symptoms.^{6,12-14} Acute kidney injury necessitating dialysis and failure of other organs may also occur at this severe stage of disease.

Of the nearly 1,099 persons described in the Wuhan cohort, 16% had severe disease at presentation; 67 persons (6%) reached a composite primary endpoint of intensive care admission, mechanical ventilation or death.^{9,15} As described below, outcomes for those requiring mechanical ventilation and with other manifestations of end-organ failure are poor, and treatments for such patients are critically needed.

In this protocol, we aim to enroll patients hospitalized for medical management of COVID-19, with acute respiratory failure, defined as the use of high-flow nasal cannula, non-invasive ventilation, or invasive mechanical ventilation.

2.2.3 Hospitalization of people with COVID-19

Countries and jurisdictions differ in the clinical management of COVID-19 patients. Early in the epidemic, faced with small numbers of infected persons, some resource-rich countries such as Singapore elected to admit all persons with COVID-19 regardless of symptom severity to facilitate strict isolation. Admission for reasons of public health or quarantine, rather than medical management, continues to be a requirement in some countries, notably in Asia. Elsewhere, it is more common for those with mild illness to be advised to self-isolate at home, while only those severely unwell are admitted for medical management.

Mortality rates for those who develop end-organ failure requiring intensive support, including those admitted to ICU, differ widely. Among 1,591 ICU patients from Lombardy, the region in Italy hardest hit by COVID-19, 88% required mechanical ventilation and 11% noninvasive ventilation.¹⁴ The ICU mortality rate was 26%. Of 1,043 patients with available data, 709 (68%) had at least 1 comorbidity, 509 (49%) had hypertension, and 21% had cardiovascular disease. Younger patients (≤63 years) compared to older patients, had lower ICU mortality and higher rates of discharge from ICU. The median length of stay in the ICU was 9 days, though 58% remained in ICU at time of report.¹⁶ In the United Kingdom, of the 4,078 COVID-19 patients admitted into critical care with reported outcomes, 50.7% died in ICU; those requiring advanced respiratory support and renal support had worse outcomes.¹⁵ More recent mortality estimates among patients with COVID-19-associated ARDS range from 30–45%. These mortality estimates underline the importance of testing and implementing new effective treatments for these critically ill patients.

2.2.4 Viral kinetics of SARS-CoV-2 infection

Viral kinetic studies have demonstrated extensive SARS-CoV-2 viral replication in the pharynx just before and early after symptom onset.¹⁷ Viral ribonucleic acid (RNA) shedding from the pharynx gradually wanes as symptoms resolve, but viral RNA is still detectable

Version 1.0, 15 March 2021

weeks after symptom resolution.¹⁸⁻²⁰ Median duration of viral shedding was 20 days in survivors (longest 37 days), but SARS-CoV-2 was detectable until death in non-survivors.⁷ Whether this is viable virus with the potential for continued transmission remains uncertain. RNAemia has been reported especially in more severe disease but is relatively rare among outpatients.²¹⁻²³ Viral detection in sputum is higher and outlasts pharyngeal swabs in those with pneumonia.²⁴ Persons with asymptomatic disease clear their virus faster than symptomatic individuals.²⁵

The contribution of ongoing viral replication to disease progression in the most severe stage of COVID-19 (i.e., on ventilator or ECMO) is unclear, but one study reported that SARS-CoV2 viral loads were higher on admission and throughout the hospital course in patients who died,²⁶ a finding that matches well with evidence for impaired type-1 interferon responses with more severe COVID-19 illness.²⁷ SARS-CoV-2 viral RNA is also present in blood in large numbers of critically ill patients, with higher viral loads in blood among non-survivors than among survivors.²³ Distribution of virus in the body of severely ill patients is heterogeneous in both space and time, and even patients who die of COVID-19 ARDS may have high viral load in lung, especially in the first two weeks.²⁸

2.2.5 COVID-19 ARDS, attributes and treatments

Notwithstanding the observed high viral loads, and progression of viral shedding from the upper to lower respiratory tract in those with progressive disease, the humoral immune response to SARS-CoV-2 appears variable and may be impaired.²⁹

SARS-CoV-2 infection may also induce significant changes in elements of the cellular immune response. As the disease process progresses, the peripheral lymphocyte count typically declines. The depletion of peripheral lymphocytes likely reflects translocation to the pulmonary tissue. The extent that this influx is exclusively helpful to the host, or possibly may contribute adversely to disease severity is currently unclear. In severe cases this decline in CD4+ and CD8+ lymphocytes is also associated with an increase in activated CD4+ and CD8+ subsets, increases in key proinflammatory cytokines including interleukin 6 (IL-6), and increases in natural killer (NK) cells.^{30,31} Trials assessing the use of various immunomodulatory agents with the aim of dampening this migration and systemic inflammation are underway, and may help to clarify this question.^{32,33}

In addition, cohorts of patients with ARDS before COVID-19 (a physiology that is likely highly relevant to patients with COVID-19-associated ARDS) identify risks of ventilator-associated injury, immune depletion and associated risk of secondary infection, encephalopathy and delirium, dysfunctional repair mechanisms, oxidative stress, NETosis, surfactant dysfunction, impairment in GM-CSF and macrophage function, mitochondropathy, dysregulated microvascular thrombosis and shunting, myocardial suppression, and multiple other insults, which together contribute to the high morbidity and mortality in ARDS. One recent study provided detailed information on COVID-19 ARDS³⁴ and a recent review considers features of classical ARDS and selected issues related to COVID-19 ARDS.³⁵

Phenotypic variability of ARDS is also well described in multiple cohorts, especially with sorting into inflammatory and pauci-inflammatory phenotypes.³⁶ While COVID-19 has a single underlying cause (SARS-CoV-2 infection), phenotypic variability has also been observed in COVID-19.^{34,35,37} The relevance of such subtypes to possibly heterogenous treatment effects is as yet unknown.

Standard supportive care for ARDS from COVID-19 including lung protective ventilation, prone positioning and fluid conservative care is still the most important approach to

Version 1.0, 15 March 2021

reducing mortality and morbidity when COVID-19 patients develop ARDS.^{35,38} The addition of dexamethasone for treatment of patients who are mechanically ventilated was effective in reducing mortality in the large pragmatic UK RECOVERY trial,³⁹ although several outstanding issues relating to glucocorticoids for severe COVID-19.⁴⁰

2.2.6 Current treatment strategies for COVID-19

Hundreds of clinical trials have been completed or are underway to study the safety and efficacy of treatments for COVID-19. Treatments being studied include direct anti-viral treatments, including repurposed drugs found in vitro to have activity against SARS-CoV-2; immune modulators especially in patients with advanced disease; drugs to reduce inflammation, including corticosteroids, and modifiers of other pathophysiological pathways implicated in disease progression, including potentially anticoagulants and anti-platelet agents.

As results of randomized trials for these and other treatments become available and treatment guidelines are updated, standard of care (SOC) for hospitalized patients with COVID-19 will change. This may influence the background treatment recommended (or required) by this protocol and/or second line or supportive care treatments recommended by the protocol. To accommodate this fast-moving field Appendix I (which outlines the SOC to be recommended in addition to investigational agent or matched placebo) will be regularly updated.

Of note, whereas evidence supports use of the interventions outlined in Appendix I, the most optimal approach to applying these interventions remains uncertain, and is the subject of ongoing comparative effectiveness trials.

2.3 Investigational Agents

Accelerating COVID-19 Therapeutic Interventions and Vaccines (ACTIV) has formed an overarching "trial oversight committee (TOC)" for both ACTIV-2 (a parallel study assessing COVID-19 therapeutics in outpatients) and ACTIV-3 (the TICO master protocol and this paired TESICO master protocol). The TOC (and the agent selection committee) will select agents for study in the three protocols. Members of the protocol team (non-voting) and NIH are members of this committee. This committee reviews data for investigational agents and considers a number of factors relevant to the likely efficacy and safety of candidates for inclusion in the relevant protocols.

It is possible that several agents from different sources will be combined at some point in the conduct of this master protocol – but not initially. It is also possible that one agent will be identified as effective and then incorporated as SOC (providing there is good safety data and adequate supply of the agent).

Information on dosing, administration, supply and distribution, matching placebo, and any special considerations as far as inclusion/exclusion criteria and safety monitoring for each investigational agent studied as part of this protocol is outlined in an appendix (see Appendix H), including known benefits and risk, justification for dosing, and administration. The appendix will also include whether any aspects of study procedures outlined in this master protocol will need to be deviated from. The informed consent will describe any risks associated with the investigational agents.

In some cases, especially where additional data about safety and feasibility are desired, a vanguard cohort/pilot phase may be incorporated into a trial of a given investigational

Version 1.0, 15 March 2021

agent. Details of such vanguard cohorts—including design features, additional safety monitoring, and sample size—will be specified in the agent-specific appendix.

3 Risk/Benefit Assessment

3.1 Known Potential Risks

Potential risks of participating in this trial are those associated with the product, and these are described in an agent-specific appendix and in the sample informed consent. Other risks include having blood drawn, intravenous (IV) catheterization, and breach of confidentiality. Given the significant disease-related risks faced by this target population, there is felt to be a favorable risk/benefit profile, and significant risk acceptability.

3.1.1 Risks of Drawing Blood and IV Catheterization

Drawing blood may cause transient discomfort and, rarely, fainting. Fainting is usually transient and managed by having the participant lie down and elevate his/her legs. Bruising at the blood collection sites may occur but can be prevented or lessened by applying pressure to the blood draw site for a few minutes after the blood is taken. IV catheterization may cause insertion site pain, phlebitis, hematoma formation, and infusate extravasation; less frequent but significant complications include bloodstream and local infections. The use of aseptic (sterile) technique will make infection at the site of blood draw or at catheterization less likely.

3.1.2 Risks due to Study Treatments

Infusions of investigational agents likely to be used in this protocol are generally welltolerated, except in rare cases of existing allergy to the products infused. However, each agent may have associated risks, which will be specified in the relevant agent-specific appendix.

3.1.3 Risks to Privacy

Participants will be asked to provide personal health information (PHI). All attempts will be made to keep this PHI confidential within the limits of the law. However, there is a chance that unauthorized persons will see the participant's PHI. All source records including electronic data will be stored in secured systems in accordance with institutional policies and government regulations.

All study data that leave the site (including any electronic transmission of data) will be identified only by a coded number that is linked to a participant through a code key maintained at the clinical site. Names or readily identifying information will not be released. Electronic files will be password protected.

Only people who are involved in the conduct, oversight, monitoring, or auditing of this trial will be allowed access to the PHI that is collected. Any publication from this trial will not use information that will identify study participants. Organizations that may inspect and/or copy research records maintained at the participating site for quality assurance and data analysis include groups such as the study monitor, other authorized representatives of the institutional review board (IRB), NIH, and applicable regulatory agencies (e.g. FDA).

3.2 Known Potential Benefits

While the trial is conducted to test the hypothesis that each investigational agent will improve participant status on an ordinal recovery outcome assessed at 90 days, the agents studied may or may not achieve these outcomes in any individual who participates in this trial.

Version 1.0, 15 March 2021

However, there is an anticipated benefit to society from a patient's participation in this trial, due to insights that will be gained about the investigational agent(s) under study as well as the natural history of the disease. While there may not be benefits for an individual, there will be benefits to society if a safe, efficacious therapeutic agent can be identified during this global COVID-19 outbreak.

4 Outcomes

This section describes the key outcome measures used in this phase III protocol.

4.1 Primary and Secondary Outcomes to Evaluate Efficacy and Safety

The primary endpoint is an ordinal outcome that assesses participant recovery status at Day 90. The primary ordinal endpoint is referred to as **recovery**. The outcome includes 6 categories, consisting of 3 ranked categories of the number of days alive, at home, and not receiving new supplemental oxygen **at Day 90** (more than 77 consecutive days, 49–76 days, or 1–48 days) as well as an additional 3 categories for patients who are not recovered at Day 90: (1) discharged from the hospital but either not yet home, or home but receiving new supplemental oxygen, (2) still hospitalized or receiving hospice care, or (3) dead.

Consistent with the TICO protocol (NCT04501978), *home* is defined as the level of residence or facility where the participant was residing prior to onset of COVID-19 leading to the hospital admission that led to enrollment in this protocol. Residence or facility groupings to define home are: 1) **Independent/community dwelling** with or without help, including house, apartment, undomiciled/homeless, shelter, or hotel; 2) **Residential care facility** (e.g., assisted living facility, group home, other non-medical institutional setting); 3) **Other healthcare facility** (e.g., skilled nursing facility, acute rehab facility); and 4) **Long-term acute care hospital** (hospital aimed at providing intensive, longer term acute care services, often for more than 28 days). Lower (less intensive) level of residence or facility will also be considered as home. By definition, "home" cannot be a "short-term acute care" facility. Participants previously residing in a "long-term acute care" hospital recover when they return to the same or lower level of care.

Since some patients will be receiving supplemental oxygen before their COVID-19 illness, we define new supplemental oxygen as any supplemental oxygen in participants who were not receiving supplemental oxygen before their COVID-19 illness or an increase in supplemental oxygen above pre-COVID-19 baseline among patients who were receiving supplemental oxygen before their COVID-19.

The "last-off" method for assessing recovery will be used, as has been customary in the use of similar ordinal endpoints in ARDS trials for decades. According to the "last-off" method, periods of recovery that are followed by hospital re-admission, change from home to a higher level of care, or receipt of new supplemental oxygen will *not be counted* toward the number of days of recovery. In other words, only days between the last time the patient entered a recovered state (returned home, free of new supplemental oxygen), and Day 90 are counted as days of recovery. The categories of the primary endpoint are displayed in Table 1.

Category	Status at 90 days
1 (Best)	At home and off oxygen.

<u>The</u>rapeutics for <u>Severely III Inpatients with COVID-19</u> (TESICO) Master Protocol Version 1.0, 15 March 2021

	No. of consecutive days at Day 90
	≥ 77
2	49-76
3	1-48
4	Not hospitalized AND either at home on oxygen OR not at home
5	Hospitalized for medical care OR in hospice care
6 (Worst)	Dead

Participants residing in a facility solely for public health or quarantine purposes will be considered as residing in the lowest level of required residence had these public health measures not been instated. If such patients are receiving new supplemental oxygen, they will not be classified as recovered.

4.1.1 Rationale for primary outcome

The primary ordinal endpoint, recovery, was selected given the high mortality in COVID-19 ARDS and the expectation that agents may have effects on both mortality and time to recovery among survivors. The common use of new supplemental oxygen after discharge (as high as 40% of discharged patients among ARDS patients in prior cohorts) and frequent rehospitalizations also motivated the structure of this endpoint.

The primary outcome is intended to identify relevant efficacy among investigational agents using an endpoint that is patient-centered, clinically relevant, and appropriately efficient.

Whereas mortality may be the most important ultimate outcome, the sample size to detect a plausible treatment effect for such an outcome would be much larger than outlined in this protocol. It was determined that use of a mortality-only endpoint would unduly increase the amount of time and resources necessary to make a determination of efficacy and was thus not feasible in current pandemic circumstances. Importantly, mortality was not considered to be the only relevant measure of efficacy in COVID-19—among survivors, the duration of recovery at Day 90, which also reflects length of hospitalization, is also an important benchmark. This position is consistent with decades of work in ARDS trials. Notably, while data specific to COVID-19 have not yet been generated, in general ARDS populations, a longer time to recovery has been associated with worse long-term outcomes, making recovery evaluated at Day 90 an important patient-centered endpoint.⁴¹⁻⁴⁴

The primary outcome is assessed at 90 days of follow-up, which is longer than for other trials of investigational agents for COVID-19, which have typically been 28 days. The longer follow-up will allow better ascertainment of recovery from the longer-term consequences of the underlying disease, and hence the efficacy of the investigational agent. This is likely to be particularly true for the TESICO target population, who are critically ill. Based on data from COVID-19 observational cohorts and ARDS trials before the pandemic, it is also projected that excess mortality will be observed between Day 28 and Day 90. A single category of death at Day 90 is used for the worst category of the primary endpoint instead of time to death given the 90 day follow-up period. Time to death is a secondary endpoint.

Version 1.0, 15 March 2021

4.1.2 Secondary outcomes

In addition to the primary endpoint, several secondary efficacy endpoints will be assessed. These endpoints will be assessed for all participants enrolled.

- 1. All-cause mortality through Day 90, dichotomous as well as time to death
- 2. (a) Composite endpoint that considers the number of days at home off oxygen and the time to death as well as the other categories of the primary ordinal outcome; (b) a dichotomous composite endpoint of alive and free of respiratory support at Day 90; (c) a three-category ordinal endpoint, measured at Day 90, that includes alive and free of respiratory support, alive and not free of respiratory support, and dead.
- 3. Time from randomization to recovery defined as alive, at home, and off oxygen (treating death as a competing risk).
- 4. Days alive outside of a short-term acute care hospital up to Day 90 (among survivors), using the "last off" method
- 5. Clinical organ failure or serious infections defined by development of any one or more of the following clinical events through Day 28 (see PIM for criteria for what constitutes each of these conditions; such conditions that existed at baseline are not counted):
 - a. Cardiac and vascular dysfunction:
 - 1. Myocardial infarction
 - 2. Myocarditis or pericarditis
 - 3. Congestive heart failure: new onset NYHA class III or IV, or worsening to class III or IV
 - 4. Hypotension requiring institution of vasopressor therapy
 - 5. Atrial or ventricular tachyarrhythmias
 - b. Renal dysfunction:
 - 1. New requirement for renal replacement therapy
 - c. Hepatic dysfunction:
 - 1. Hepatic decompensation
 - d. Neurological dysfunction
 - 1. Acute delirium
 - 2. Cerebrovascular event (stroke, cerebrovascular accident [CVA])
 - 3. Transient ischemic events (i.e., CVA symptomatology resolving <24 hrs)
 - 4. Encephalitis, meningitis or myelitis
 - e. Haematological dysfunction:
 - 1. Disseminated intravascular coagulation
 - 2. New arterial or venous thromboembolic events, including pulmonary embolism and deep vein thrombosis
 - 3. Major bleeding events (>2 units of blood within 24 hours, bleeding at a critical site (intracranial, intraspinal, intraocular, pericardial, intraarticular, intramuscular with compartment syndrome, or retroperitoneal), or fatal bleeding).

Version 1.0, 15 March 2021

- f. Serious infection:
 - 1. Intercurrent, at least probable, documented serious disease caused by an infection *other than* SARS-CoV2, requiring antimicrobial administration and care within an acute-care hospital.
- 6. A composite of death, clinical organ failure or serious infections (see above) through Day 90.
- 7. Outcomes assessed in other treatment trials of COVID-19 for hospitalized participants in order to facilitate meta analyses and facilitate generation of norms, including an ordinal scale measuring the degree of oxygen support through Day 14, time to discharge from the initial hospitalization, and binary outcomes defined by worsening based on the worst 3 categories of the primary ordinal recovery outcome at day 90.
- 8. A composite of cardiovascular events (outcomes listed above in items 5a1, 5d2 and 5d3) and thromboembolic events (item 5e2) through Day 90.
- 9. Safety and tolerability as measured by
 - a. A composite safety outcome of grade 3 and 4 clinical adverse events, SAEs, PSESEs (see Section 10.2.3), or death through Day 5 (*primary safety endpoint*) and through Day 28 (secondary safety endpoint)
 - b. Infusion-related reactions of any severity
 - c. Percentage of participants for whom the infusion was interrupted or stopped prior to completion for any reason and separately for an adverse event
 - d. A composite of hospital readmissions or death through 90 days.

4.1.3 Rationale for secondary outcomes

The main secondary outcomes for the TESICO trial are constituents of the primary outcome (mortality, time to death, number of days home off oxygen) or closely related to them (days alive outside of the hospital. In addition, given the evolving information about the effects of COVID-19 outside of the lungs, measuring organ failure is important to understand the full range of COVID-19. Given that secondary infections are common among ARDS patients, including those with ARDS from COVID-19, measuring and monitoring secondary infections is also important to understanding the full scope of the effect of a COVID-19 therapeutic agent. In addition, the importance of understanding COVID-19 epidemiology (and supporting potential meta-analyses) across the range of therapeutic trials mandates collection of outcomes relevant to the calculation of endpoints from other trials. The rationale for the safety outcomes collected is presented in Section 10. If a specific secondary outcome is to be added for a given investigational agent, that additional outcome will be specified in the corresponding Appendix H.

5 Objectives

5.1 Primary Objective

The primary objective of this protocol is to determine whether investigational agents are safe and superior to control (initially and primarily placebo) when given with SOC for the

Version 1.0, 15 March 2021

primary endpoint of recovery (based on a 6-category ordinal outcome) evaluated at 90 days after randomization.

SOC may be modified (updated based on data from this or other trials) during the course of evaluating different investigational agents with this master protocol. SOC may also be studied in this master protocol along with investigational agents if data from trials indicate that efficacy is uncertain for this target population of patients with COVID-19 ARDS.

5.2 Secondary Objectives

Three key secondary objectives are to compare each investigational agent with control for time to mortality (censored at 90 days), a composite endpoint that considers the number of days at home off new supplemental oxygen and the time to death as well as the other categories of the primary ordinal outcome, and time to recovery defined as alive, at home, and off new supplemental oxygen.

Other secondary objectives are to compare each investigational agent with control for the secondary outcomes listed in section 4.

In addition, the primary ordinal endpoint of recovery will be evaluated for subgroups defined by the following characteristics measured at enrollment:

- Receipt of invasive mechanical ventilation
- Age
- Biological sex
- Race/ethnicity
- Type of residence/facility (home)
- Body mass index (BMI)
- History of chronic conditions (cardiovascular disease, diabetes, asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, hypertension, chronic kidney disease, hepatic impairment, or cancer)
- Geographic location
- Duration of symptoms prior to enrollment
- Concomitant treatments (including other randomized treatments) at enrollment
- SARS-CoV-2 vaccination status at baseline
- Disease progression risk score (defined using pooled treatment groups with the following baseline predictors of the primary outcome (recovery evaluated at 90 days): age, biological sex, duration of symptoms, receipt of invasive mechanical ventilation, and presence of chronic health conditions.

6 Study Design

TESICO (Therapeutics for Severely III Inpatients with COVID-19) is a master protocol to evaluate the safety and efficacy of multiple investigational agents for COVID-19 ARDS. Master protocols can be a more efficient approach to the evaluation of multiple experimental interventions for a single disease such as COVID-19 in a continuous manner.

The trial described in this master protocol is a phase III randomized, blinded, controlled platform trial that allows investigational agents to be added and dropped during the study for efficient testing of new agents against placebo within the same trial infrastructure. When more than one agent is being tested concurrently, participants will be randomized across agents, as well as to agent/control. This general approach will allow rapid testing of multiple

Version 1.0, 15 March 2021

agents as the pooling of controls across agents requires fewer patients to be randomized to the matched control arm of each agent. However, this will only occur when feasible and when multiple agents are available to be tested at the same time. If an investigational agent shows superiority over placebo + SOC as initially defined, SOC for future investigational treatment evaluations will be modified accordingly.

In some cases, more than one dose of an investigational agent will be studied. For such agents, specific details of the dose selection will be outlined in the relevant Appendix H.

6.1 Randomization and Stratification

Patients will be equally allocated to each investigational agent + SOC or to placebo + SOC. For example, for a study of a single investigational agent, participants will be randomized in a 1:1 ratio to the investigational agent + SOC or to placebo + SOC. If a participant is eligible for two investigational agents, the allocation will be 1:1:1 to investigational agent A + SOC, agent B + SOC, or placebo + SOC. Because the two investigational agents (A and B) may require different placebos (for example, when infusion volumes or route of administration differ), the 1:1:1 allocation ratio will be achieved through a two-step randomization procedure: in step 1, the participant is randomized 2:1 to "active" versus "placebo"; in step 2, the participant is randomized 1:1 to A versus B. With k agents, this can be viewed as an initial k:1 allocation to "active" versus "placebo", followed by a second, even allocation to one of the available agents (for example, if a participant was allocated to "placebo" in step 1, then the step 2 allocation will be 1:1 to "agent-specific placebo for A" versus "agentspecific placebo for B"). Sites will be informed of the specific investigational agent/placebo (e.g., A or B) to which the participant was randomized (see section 6.2) but not whether the patient is receiving active agent versus placebo. For the analysis, the concurrent agentspecific placebo groups will be pooled, resulting in a 1:1 allocation ratio for comparing each investigational agent versus the (pooled) placebo group.

If investigational agents are added or dropped, the allocation ratio to active versus placebo will be appropriately modified, and overall sample size will be recalculated as appropriate.

Randomization will be stratified by study site pharmacy (several clinical sites may share one study site pharmacy) and receipt of invasive mechanical ventilation at entry. Within each randomization stratum, mass-weighted urn randomization⁴⁵ will be used to generate the active and placebo assignments. This will ensure throughout the trial placebo allocation near the intended ratio while also ensuring near equal numbers of active and matched placebo assignments to each agent.

If more than one investigational agent is being compared with placebo and they have different contraindications, consideration will be given to allowing participants to enter with randomization to each agent versus placebo separately as well as randomization to both agents. If the number of participants expected to have a contraindication is small, they will be excluded from the trial rather than establishing a separate randomization mechanism. Comparisons will be of each investigational treatment against its control arm. The control arm consists of all participants who were "at risk" for being randomized to the investigational agent but were randomized to a control group instead. This concept is relevant when the randomization includes investigational agents with different eligibility criteria or introduction into the platform trial at different time points. Formal randomization includes a matched placebo group for each agent, and the placebo groups will be pooled

Version 1.0, 15 March 2021

across agents, but only participants who (1) were eligible for the investigational agent under consideration, and (2) were randomized contemporaneously and at participating sites will be included in the control group for a given agent.

The default randomization allocation to agent (or its placebo) for which a participant is eligible is as outlined above. However, in some circumstances this allocation ratio may be changed by the (blinded) protocol leadership based on an overall assessment of how the master protocol framework is able to produce relevant and novel findings most effectively. In addition, some agents may undergo factorial randomization with other agents. Such details will be specified in the relevant agent-specific appendix.

6.2 Blinding

Investigational agents or placebo (as necessary) will be prepared by a pharmacist who is not blinded to the treatment assignment. All other study staff, including those at sites, and those in roles spanning multiple sites or spanning the protocol as a whole, will be blinded unless otherwise specified herein.

For investigational agents infused, blinding of the participant and clinical staff may be achieved by placing a colored sleeve over the infusion bags used for investigational agents and placebos. Placebo will consist of an isotonic crystalloid, referred to as an isotonic saline solution.

When more than one investigational agent is available for randomization, the clinical staff will be informed to which investigational agent/placebo the participant was randomly assigned for infusion, but they will remain blinded to whether the random assignment was to the active investigational agent or matching placebo.

If the blind is broken, whether by accident, or for safety reasons, this will be recorded, and the protocol chair will be notified of the event. In that situation, every attempt will be made to minimize the number of people unblinded. Specific unblinding procedures and instructions are found in the PIM.

6.3 Sample size assumptions

All sample size calculations are aimed at pairwise comparisons between a given investigational agent and its control arm. The following assumptions were made in estimating the required sample size for this phase III trial.

- a. The primary analysis will be intention to treat.
- b. A proportional odds model will be used to compare recovery at Day 90 for the investigational agent and placebo.
- c. Patients will be assigned the worst category that applies at Day 90.
- d. The "last-off" method (for return to home and liberation from new supplemental oxygen) is used to calculate days of recovery among those who are recovered on Day 90.
- e. Approximately 80% of patients will enter the trial on high-flow nasal oxygen, while approximately 20% will enter with non-invasive or invasive mechanical ventilation. Control-group event rates for these patients are based on findings from ACTT-1, the Intermountain Prospective COVID Registry (IPOC), ISARIC, and other data sources. This includes estimates of the percentage of patients in each category of respiratory support (i.e., high flow nasal oxygen, non-invasive ventilation, invasive mechanical ventilation) at baseline.⁴⁶

Version 1.0, 15 March 2021

- f. Most patients will be discharged in the first month after randomization; based on ACTT-1 and PETAL Network data, we estimate 25% will be discharged to their home and stay home for 14 days by day 28 following randomization; half of these patients will be discharged to their home on oxygen; and most will receive oxygen for 3-4 weeks. Thus, the category 1 percentage is approximately 12% considering reinitiation of home oxygen and re-hospitalization.
- g. Categories 2 and 3 are wider and also consider home oxygen re-initiation and rehospitalization.
- h. Three categories of time at home off oxygen were considered because an intervention that shortened time in the ICU and also decreased mortality was considered clinically relevant.
- i. Based on data from PETAL Network and Intermountain Healthcare, 33% of participants will die by Day 90. A single category is used for death at Day 90 instead of time of death given the target population and planned follow-up.
- j. At Day 90 < 10% of patients will be in the hospital; and about 10% will be on oxygen or not at home.
- k. With type 1 error of 0.05 (2-sided) and 80% power to detect the OR of 1.5, sample size is 602. This is increased to 640 (320 in each group) to allow for a small percentage of patients who withdraw consent or are lost to follow-up before Day 90.

The estimated control and treatment arm distribution of endpoint categories used to calculate sample size and power is displayed in Table 2.

Category	Status at 90 days	Investigational Agent (%)	Control (%)
1	At home and off oxygen. No. consecutive days at Day 90		
	≥ 77	17.0	12.0
2	49-76	27.7	23.0
3	1-48	17.2	17.0
4	Not hospitalized AND either at home on oxygen OR not at home	9.1	10.0
5	Hospitalized for medical care OR in hospice care	4.3	5.0
6	Dead	24.7	33.0
	Total	100.0	100.0

Table 2 Estimated Distribution of Endpoint Categories Used for Power Calculation

Sample size may be re-estimated before enrollment is complete to determine whether the pooled proportions are still consistent with 80% power to detect an OR 1.5.

6.4 Schedule of Assessments

Participants will be randomized and start therapy on Day 0. The primary endpoint and most secondary endpoints will be measured through Day 90. After Day 90 results are completed, data will be unblinded to allow expeditious reporting of primary results. In addition, all

<u>Therapeutics for Severely III Inpatients with COVID-19 (TESICO) Master Protocol</u> Version 1.0, 15 March 2021

participants randomized will be followed through 180 days following randomization for collection of study data (Appendix B and section 9.1 for details).

6.5 Approach to Intercurrent Therapies and Clinical Trial Co-enrollment

In general, the study will take a pragmatic approach to the use of intercurrent, concomitant medications. Sponsor and/or protocol leadership may, based upon convincing new evidence, act in the interest of participant protection, and in avoidance of confounding, to exclude/disallow use of any specific concomitant therapy found to be reasonably contraindicated for a well-defined portion of the study population (see Appendix I). Such a determination may be made, communicated, and implemented by a Protocol Clarification Memo until it is reasonable to amend the protocol for other reasons.

Coenrollment in other trials will only be allowed where a coenrolling trial has been approved by trial leadership for coenrollment.

The protocol leadership will use the following principles to judge the appropriateness of a trial for which co-enrolment will be allowed.

- 1. Trials involving interventions that are contraindicated in combination with a TESICO investigational agent are not permitted (see Appendix H for details of possible contraindications for each investigational agent).
- 2. Study procedures of the co-enrolling trial must not impose an undue burden on research participants or research staff when viewed within the context of TESICO study procedures. For example, volume of blood drawn for research purposes must not be excessive when added to the volume drawn for study procedures.
- 3. With the exception of TICO, participation in the TESICO trial will be treated as the principal trial for the study participant, and study procedures for TESICO will be prioritized.
- 4. With the exception of TICO, the trial must be open-label (non-blinded) in order to facilitate interim and final analyses of data for this trial, including treatment interactions, and the attribution of causality of serious adverse events and unanticipated problems (see section 10.1.5.) Alternatively the coenrolling trial may agree to confidentially break blind (DSMB to DSMB) to allow for proper assessment, and to facilitate assignment of attribution (causality) of serious adverse events and unanticipated problems within the respective trial.

The planned analyses are by intention to treat. All participants will be compared throughout follow-up, irrespective of use of concomitant treatments or coenrollment in other trials. Concomitant treatments will be recorded at baseline, daily through Day 14, and on Day 28.

7 Study Population

Pragmatic classifications of COVID-19 severity, largely based on an early WHO scale or variants, have been widely adopted in clinical trials. These scales generally specify the degree of respiratory impairment as determined by the location of care and the degree of organ support.⁴⁷ The target population of TESICO are patients with SARS-CoV-2 pulmonary involvement severe enough to cause acute hypoxemic respiratory failure that is treated with high flow nasal oxygen or mechanical ventilation (whether invasive or noninvasive). The TESICO target population is thus a subset of "critical COVID-19," as it is

Version 1.0, 15 March 2021

focused on hypoxemic respiratory failure due to COVID-19 pneumonia as the critical organ failure. The TESICO target population is also a subset of COVID-19 respiratory failure, since it is restricted to those with hypoxemia who are receiving advanced respiratory support. Based on unpublished data from a national and a regional cohort of hospitalized patients with COVID-19 pneumonia suggesting that >90–95% of patients in this target population would meet the Berlin consensus statement⁴⁸ oxygenation and radiographic criteria for ARDS, we at times use the term ARDS interchangeably with COVID-19-associated critical respiratory failure to describe our target population in this protocol. We anticipate that the members of the target population so defined will benefit from the investigational agents, as the vast majority will have bilateral pulmonary infiltrates from lung inflammation and injury due to life-threatening SARS-CoV-2 infection. (To facilitate inferences about generalizability and subsequent meta-analyses, we will record and report chest radiograph results and SF ratios to allow alignment with the Berlin definition and newly proposed modifications⁴⁹ at the conclusion of the trial.)

In the context of this understanding of COVID-19-associated critical respiratory failure, COVID-19 participants with ARDS will be enrolled at clinical trial sites globally. The estimated time from screening (Day -1 or Day 0) to end of study for an individual participant is 90 days for the primary endpoint and 6 months for some secondary endpoints.

Patient eligibility must be confirmed by study personnel named on the delegation log.

Protocol inclusion and exclusion criteria are intentionally straightforward and are NOT subject to exception for even minor deviations, e.g., by Study Medical Officers or by the Sponsor Medical Monitor.

7.1 Inclusion Criteria

- 1. Age \geq 18 years;
- 2. Informed consent by the patient or the patient's legally-authorized representative (LAR)*;
- 3. Requiring admission for inpatient hospital acute medical care for clinical manifestations of COVID-19, per the responsible investigator, and NOT for purely public health or quarantine purposes.
- 4. Current respiratory failure (i.e., receipt of high-flow nasal cannula, non-invasive ventilation, invasive mechanical ventilation, or ECMO used to treat acute hypoxemic respiratory failure).
- 5. SARS-CoV-2 infection, documented by a nucleic acid test (NAT) or equivalent testing with most recent test within 14 days prior to randomization. (For non-NAT tests, only those deemed to have equivalent specificity to NAT by the protocol team will be allowed. A central list of allowed non-NAT tests will be maintained.)
- 6. Respiratory failure is believed to be due to SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia.

*Continuing consent

Participants for whom consent was initially obtained from a LAR, but who subsequently regain decision-making capacity while in hospital will be approached for consent for continuing participation, including continuance of data acquisition.

Version 1.0, 15 March 2021

7.2 Exclusion Criteria

- 1. Known allergy to investigational agent or vehicle
- 2. More than 4 days since initiation of support for respiratory failure (i.e., receipt of highflow nasal cannula, non-invasive ventilation, invasive mechanical ventilation or ECMO used to treat acute hypoxemic respiratory failure).
- 3. Chronic/home mechanical ventilation (invasive or non-invasive) for chronic lung or neuromuscular disease (non-invasive ventilation used solely for sleep-disordered breathing is not an exclusion).
- 4. Moribund patient (i.e., not expected to survive 24 hours)
- 5. Active use of "comfort care" or other hospice-equivalent standard of care
- 6. Expected inability to participate in study procedures;
- 7. In the opinion of the responsible investigator, any condition for which, participation would not be in the best interest of the participant or that could limit protocol-specified assessments;
- 8. Previous enrollment in TESICO

Exclusions that may be specifically appropriate for an investigational agent studied are referenced in the relevant appendix (H) for the investigational agent. The contraindications for use of components of SOC are outlined in Appendix I and in the PIM.

7.3 Costs to Participants

There is no cost to participants for the research tests, procedures/evaluations and study product while taking part in this trial. Procedures and treatment for clinical care including costs associated with hospital stay may be billed to the participant, participant's insurance or third party.

8 Study Product

Investigational agents and SOC treatment to be used are described in Appendices H and I, respectively.

9 Study Assessments and Procedures

9.1 Screening/Baseline and Follow-up Assessments

Data collection at each visit is outlined below and summarized in Appendix B. Day 0 refers to the day on which randomization occurs and on which the investigational agent/placebo is first administered. Screening and randomization can be done in the same session. The term "baseline" refers to data that are collected prior to randomization.

9.1.1 Screening/Baseline Assessments

After obtaining informed consent, the following assessments are performed <u>within 24 hours</u> prior to randomization to confirm eligibility and to collect baseline data:

Documentation of laboratory diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2 infection in the appropriate timeframe

Version 1.0, 15 March 2021

- A focused medical history, including the following information:
 - Demographics including age, gender, and type residence or facility prior to current illness (i.e. "home")
 - Day of onset of COVID-19 signs and symptoms
 - History of chronic and current medical conditions, including targeted conditions for outcome analysis
 - Targeted concomitant medications and SARS-CoV-2 vaccine receipt or trial participation

• A focused physical examination including vital signs (at least heart rate, systolic and diastolic blood pressure, respiratory rate, temperature, and oxygen saturation), height and weight, baseline degree of oxygen supplementation/respiratory support

- Blood draw for local laboratory evaluations:
 - White blood cell count
 - Hemoglobin
 - Platelets
 - Lymphocyte and neutrophil counts
 - Ferritin
 - C-reactive protein
 - Basic metabolic panel
 - Alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and/or aspartate aminotransferase (AST)
 - Total bilirubin
 - INR
 - D-DIMER
- Plasma and serum specimens for future related research (four 1.0 mL aliquots of serum and four 1.0 mL aliquots of plasma). Two 9 mL tubes, one SST and one EDTA, of blood (18 mL total) will be drawn in order obtain 8 aliquots.
- A mid-turbinate nasal swab for SARS-CoV-2
- Among those who provide consent for host genetics, whole blood will be collected and stored for RNA (one 2.5mL PAXgene tube) and DNA (one 9mL EDTA tube to produce six 1-mL aliquots) extraction
- Contact details (phone, e-mail or other types of contact) for the participant and at least two close relatives/friends, to ensure reliable data collection during follow-up in the trial.
- Urine or serum pregnancy test in women of childbearing potential who do not already have evidence of pregnancy

In some cases, it may not be possible to draw blood for local laboratory assessments and storage prior to the time of randomization. In these cases, the blood draw can be performed after the time of randomization but before the infusion of the blinded investigational agent/placebo.

The overall eligibility of the patient for the study will be assessed once all screening information is available. The screening process can be suspended prior to completion of the assessment at any time if exclusions are identified by the study team.

Participants who qualify will be randomized within 24 hours of consent and given the infusion of the blinded investigational agent/placebo. Immediately prior to randomization,

Version 1.0, 15 March 2021

receipt of high-flow nasal cannula, non-invasive ventilation, invasive mechanical ventilation, or ECMO by the participant should be verified.

On days of study drug administration before study drug administration:

- Adverse events of any grade severity present prior to the infusion
- Start and stop times of the infusion of the investigational agent/placebo
- Starting dose and changes in dose of infusion
- Infusion-related reactions to the investigational agent/placebo
- New adverse events of any grade severity during and up to 2 hours after the infusion

The details of monitoring during and immediately after the infusion will be specified in the agent-specific appendices. Participants who experience AEs during or immediately after the infusion should be followed closely until the resolution of the AE.

9.1.2 Follow-up Assessments

Participants will be followed through 180 days following randomization for collection of study data (Appendix B). Relevant clinical data will be collected on Days 0–7, 14, 28, 42, 60, 75, 90, and 180. These data will include discharge status, and interim changes in medical history (targeted to components of primary and secondary endpoints). Concomitant medications will be collected on days of study drug administration, Day 5, and Day 28, clinical (i.e., not limited to a laboratory abnormality) incident AEs of grade 3 and 4 severity through Day 28, and hospitalization readmissions and deaths through 180 days.

Components necessary to determine the ordinal WHO/NIH ordinal outcome and the TICO Pulmonary endpoint will be collected to allow the computation of the ordinal outcome for every day through Day 14. On Days 14 and 28 AEs of any grade severity will also be collected.

At Day 3 for all participants and Day 7 for those still in the ICU or equivalent, plasma and serum specimens for central testing for SARS-CoV-2 antibody determination and storage (four 1.0 mL aliquots of serum and four 1.0 mL aliquots of plasma) will be obtained for future related research. Two 9 mL tubes, SST and EDTA, of blood (18 mL total) will be drawn in order obtain the 8 aliquots.

At Day 3, among those participants who provided consent for host genetics, a whole blood specimen for RNA extraction will be collected (sufficient for one 2.5 mL PAXgene tube).

At the time of discharge, the residence/place of living to which the participant was discharged and whether it was the type of residence (i.e. "home") occupied at the time of onset of COVID-19 symptoms will be ascertained. All changes in this status (e.g., re-admission to another hospital or an intermediate care facility) will be collected at approximately 2-week intervals, starting with the day 14 visit, to determine the time of return "home" and time of liberation from new supplemental oxygen (as well as readmissions or resumption of new supplemental oxygen). Entry into hospice care will also be collected.

For visits on Days 7, 14, 42, 60, 75, 90, and 180, contact with the participant for study data collection may be performed by telephone. However, other information will be gathered, as outlined in Appendix B. At Day 90 and Day 180, the EQ-5D-5L will be administered. Safety data collection and reporting are described further in Section 10.

<u>The</u>rapeutics for <u>Severely III Inpatients with COVID-19 (TESICO) Master Protocol</u> Version 1.0, 15 March 2021

9.1.3 Stored Samples and Future Research

The plasma, mid-turbinate, and serum specimens collected as outlined above will be stored at a central specimen repository in the US. In addition to the specified testing to be done per protocol (collected at baseline and day 3 for all participants and collected at day 7 among participants still in the ICU on day 7), the specimens will be available for later use in research concerning COVID-19, SARS-CoV-2, and the impact of the study treatment. The whole blood specimens for RNA and DNA extraction from those participants who provided consent for host genetics will also be stored at the same central specimen repository in the US. Proposed research utilizing these specimens will be reviewed and approved by the study scientific steering committee and overseen by an ethics committee as appropriate. Results of research tests on individual specimens will not be provided to participants or their clinicians. Aggregate research results will be made available.

10 Safety Assessment

The safety monitoring and assessment within this trial reflects attributes of the anticipated investigational agents and the target population.

First, investigational agents studied in this protocol are commonly expected to have short half-lives and low probability of triggering a pathologic process or demonstrating a toxicity that would not manifest during, or shortly after treatment. As a consequence, the mainstay of safety monitoring will be broad safety monitoring through Day 90 plus collection and reporting of serious and/or high-grade events thought to be at least possibly related to the investigational agent for the duration of participation. If agents with longer half-lives or a likelihood of demonstrating effects that may potentially manifest with substantial delay are included, a longer duration of broad safety monitoring will be employed for those agents. Details of such additional safety monitoring will be specified in the corresponding agent-specific Appendix H .

Second, patients with ARDS may each be reasonably anticipated to experience multiple serious adverse events regardless of any study procedures. Therefore, certain reasonably anticipated serious adverse events will be collected as study outcomes (these are termed protocol-specified exempt serious events (PSESEs); see Section 10.2.3), and will be monitored by the DSMB rather than reporting these as adverse events per se.

Safety events and PSESEs will be monitored to ensure real-time participant protection through frequent unblinded DSMB review. The DSMB will review unblinded safety reports on a weekly basis.

The safety evaluation of the study intervention includes several components, all of which will be regularly reviewed by the independent DSMB. For this protocol, the term "*study intervention*" refers to the investigational agent or placebo, and to study provided SOC treatment(s).

Infusion-related reactions are only collected for the blinded investigational agent/placebo. All other AEs are collected for the study intervention (either the blinded investigational agent/placebo or study provided SOC treatment).

Events will be reported to regulators and IRBs/ethics committees as appropriate/required.

Version 1.0, 15 March 2021

Adverse events, infusion reactions and unanticipated problems will be regularly reviewed by the DSMB.

The following information will be collected on electronic case report forms, and will be regularly reviewed by the DSMB, to evaluate and help ensure safety:

- Infusion-related reactions during and within 2 hours post-infusion of the investigational agent/placebo.
- Clinical adverse events of grade 3 and 4 through study day 28 (isolated laboratory abnormalities that are not associated with signs or symptoms are not collected).
- Protocol-specified exempt serious events (see section 10.2.3) through Day 90.
- Serious adverse events, including laboratory-only serious events, through Day 90, if they are not being collected as clinical organ failure or serious infections (Item 5 of Section 4.1.2) or protocol-specified exempt serious events.
- Serious adverse events through Day 180 if they are related to study intervention
- Unanticipated Problems through Day 180
- Deaths through Day 180.
- Hospital readmissions through Day 180.

An overview of safety data collected during the study is given in Table 3.

Table 3 Overview of Safety Data Collection

	During and at least 2 hrs after infusion (all days on which infusion occurs)	Day 0–5	Day 14	Day 28	Day 90				
Infusion-related reactions and symptoms of any grade ^a	Х								
All grade 3 and 4 clinical AEs (new or increased in severity to Grade 3/4)	Х	х	Xp	Xp					
Protocol-specified exempt serious events (PSESEs) ^c	Collected through Day 90								
SAEs that are not PSESEs	Collected through Day 90								
Unanticipated problems	Collected through	gh End of Su	ubject Part	ticipation (Da	ay 180)				
Hospital admissions and deaths	Collected through End of Subject Participation (Day 180)								
Any SAE related ^d to study intervention	Collected through End of Subject Participation (Day 180)								
$\frac{1}{2}$ This includes reporting of AEs of any grade present on day 0, before the first infusion									

^aThis includes reporting of AEs of any grade present on day 0, before the first infusion.
This allows assessment of whether a given AE is new after infusion.
^bParticipants will be asked about all new relevant adverse events of Grade 3 or 4 which have occurred since the last data collection, up to that time point. On these visits, AEs of Grade 1 or 2 that are present on the day of the visit will also be collected.
^cThese are explained and defined in section 10.2.3.
^dRelatedness determined as per protocol rules in section 10.

Definitions and methods of reporting each type of event are given below.

10.1 Definitions

10.1.1 Adverse Event (AE)

An AE is any untoward or unfavourable medical occurrence in a study participant, including any abnormal sign (e.g., abnormal physical exam or laboratory finding), symptom, or disease, temporally associated with their participation in research, whether or not considered related to the research. If a diagnosis is clinically evident (or subsequently determined), the diagnosis, rather than the individual signs and symptoms or lab abnormalities, will be recorded as the AE.

Version 1.0, 15 March 2021

In Appendix H details are outlined for each investigational agent under study of the following: specific AEs observed to be possibly associated with the agent in question, and how to monitor for, clinically handle and report such AEs, should they arise.

10.1.2 Criteria for Seriousness

Events are serious if they lead to one of the following outcomes:

- Death
- Life-threatening (i.e., an immediate threat to life)
- Hospitalization or prolongation of hospitalization
- Persistent or significant incapacity or substantial disruption of the ability to conduct normal life functions
- Congenital abnormalities/birth defects
- Other important medical events that may jeopardize the participant and/or may require intervention to prevent one of the outcomes listed above

10.1.3 Unanticipated Problems

An Unanticipated Problem (UP) is any incident, experience or outcome that is:

- 1. Unexpected in terms of nature, severity, or frequency in relation to:
 - a. the research risks that are described in the IRB-approved research protocol and informed consent document; Investigator's Brochure or other study documents; and
 b. the characteristics of the population being studied; and
- 2. Possibly, probably, or definitely related to participation in the research; and
- 3. Places study participants or others at a greater risk of harm (including physical, psychological, economic, or social harm) than was previously known or recognized per the Investigator's Brochure(s) (IBs).

Furthermore, a UP could be an expected event that occurs at a greater frequency than would be expected based on current knowledge of the disease and treatment under study. The DSMB providing oversight to the study may make such an assessment based on an aggregate analysis of events.

10.1.4 Severity

The investigator will evaluate all AEs with respect to both seriousness (results in outcomes as above) and **severity** (intensity or grade). AEs will be graded for severity according to the *DAIDS Table for Grading the Severity of Adult and Pediatric Adverse Events* (also known at the DAIDS AE Grading Table; see Appendix D for the URL).

For specific events that are not included in the DAIDS AE Grading Table, the generic scale in Table 4 is to be used:

<u>The</u>rapeutics for <u>Severely III Inpatients with COVID-19 (TESICO) Master Protocol</u> Version 1.0, 15 March 2021

Table 4 Generic AE Grading Scale

- Grade 1 Events causing no or minimal interference with usual social and functional activities, and NOT raising a concern, and NOT requiring a medical intervention/ therapy.
- Grade 2 Events causing greater than minimal interference with usual social and functional activities; some assistance may be needed; no or minimal medical intervention/therapy required.
- Grade 3 Events causing inability to perform usual social and functional activities; some assistance usually required; medical intervention/therapy required.
- Grade 4 Events causing inability to perform basic self-care functions; medical or operative intervention indicated to prevent permanent impairment, persistent disability, or death
- Grade 5 Events resulting in death

10.1.5 Causality

Causality refers to the likelihood that the event is related to the study intervention. It will be assessed for SAEs and UPs. This assessment will be made for both the blinded investigational agent/placebo and any study-supplied SOC treatment using the following guidelines:

• <u>Reasonable possibility</u>: There is a clear temporal relationship between the study intervention and the event onset, and the event is known to occur with the study intervention or there is a reasonable possibility that the study intervention caused the event.

NOTE: Reasonable possibility means that there is evidence to suggest a causal relationship between the study intervention and the event.

• <u>No reasonable possibility</u>: There is no evidence suggesting that the study intervention caused the event, there is no temporal relationship between the study intervention and event onset, or a more reasonable/likely alternate etiology has been established.

The causality assessment is based on available information at the time of the assessment of the event. The investigator may revise these assessments as additional information becomes available.

10.1.6 Expectedness

Expectedness will be assessed for SAEs using the Reference Safety Information section of the IB(s) for the investigational agent(s) and any study-provided background therapy.

The expectedness assessment is based on available information at the time of the assessment of the event. The investigators and the sponsor may revise these assessments as additional information becomes available.

<u>The</u>rapeutics for <u>Severely III Inpatients with COVID-19</u> (TESICO) Master Protocol Version 1.0, 15 March 2021

10.2 Schedule for Reporting of Specific Events

This section describes the schedule for reporting different types of safety outcomes on eCRFs as part of the protocol data collection plan. It is recognized that in the care of study participants, more information may be collected and recorded in the participant's medical record. The information collected in the medical record serves as source documentation of events (e.g., signs, symptoms, diagnoses) considered for reporting on eCRFs as part of protocol data collection.

10.2.1 Infusion-related reactions

Certain infusion-related signs/symptoms will be collected as protocol-specified exempt serious events (see section 10.2.3) and will not be separately reported as adverse events.

Adverse events that are

- (a) not protocol-specified exempt serious events, AND
- (b) are of grade 3 or 4 (whether new or as an increase in grade), AND
- (c) occur during or within 2 hours post infusion

will be reported as adverse events on an eCRF.

10.2.2 Grade 3 and 4 clinical adverse events on days of study drug administration, and days 0–5, 14, and 28

From Day 0 through Day 28, adverse clinical events reaching Grade 3 or 4 severity level will be reported on an eCRF. For a clinical adverse event that was present at baseline, only those which newly reach Grade 3 or 4 will be reported.

Beginning 2 hours post-infusion of the investigational agent or matched placebo, on Days 0–5, clinical AEs of Grade 3 or 4 that are new or that have increased in grade compared to their pre-infusion level will be reported on eCRFs.

Adverse clinical events reaching Grade 3 or 4 severity level that occur between Days 6 and 28 will be reported on an eCRF at the Day 7, Day 14, and Day 28 visits. The date the event reached the indicated grade will be collected to permit time-to-event analyses. These reportable AEs should be assessed for SAE/UP reporting on the SAE eCRF or for protocol-specified exempt serious events reporting on the eCRF documenting the hospital course.

10.2.3 Protocol-specified exempt serious events (PSESEs)

Consistent with FDA guidance on protocol-specified serious adverse events, the TESICO trial will systematically collect certain adverse events that are expected to occur commonly in the target population even in the absence of study interventions. These events, termed protocol-specified exempt serious events (PSESEs), are in general exempted from the usual expedited reporting requirements for SAEs. This approach is taken to avoid creating a 'noisy' safety oversight environment, obscuring genuine safety signals, and imposing potentially unmanageable burdens on clinical/study staff, particularly in a pandemic critical care setting. Even as they are exempted from expedited reporting requirements, potentially unblinded, by treatment arm) by the DSMB to maintain the integrity of safety monitoring for the trial.

Version 1.0, 15 March 2021

PSESEs will NOT be reported as SAEs, even if they meet one or more of the criteria for seriousness, *unless considered related to study intervention (blinded investigational agent/ placebo or study-supplied SOC treatment) (see section 10.2.4)*. These events may occur during the initial hospitalization, lead to a re-admission, or occur in a later hospitalization during follow-up.

The following are protocol-specified exempt serious events.

- Death
- Stroke
- Meningitis
- Encephalitis
- Myelitis
- Myocardial infarction
- Myocarditis
- Pericarditis
- New onset of worsening of CHF (NYHA class 3 or 4)
- Arterial or deep vein thromboembolic events
- Renal dysfunction treated with renal replacement therapy
- Hepatic decompensation
- Neurologic dysfunction, including acute delirium and transient ischemic events
- Disseminated intravascular coagulation
- Major bleeding events
- Serious infections
- Worsening respiratory failure
- Hypotension treated with vasopressor therapy
- Atrial or ventricular arrhythmias

Consistent with this approach, sites will evaluate a potential adverse event to determine whether it is a PSESE:

- If it is not a PSESE, it will be reported as an adverse event as outlined in section 10 of the protocol.
- If the event is a PSESE, it will be evaluated for relatedness.
 - If it is related to study interventions (either investigational agent or study-supplied SOC therapy), it will be reported as an adverse event.
 - If, however, the event is a PSESE and is not related to study procedures, then the event will be recorded in the PSESE eCRF as a study endpoint and not as an SAE.

As noted earlier in this section, PSESEs are included in the unblinded safety reports reviewed by the DSMB to allow early detection of important imbalances in the distribution of these events between arms in the trial.

10.2.4 Reportable SAEs

Reportable SAEs for this study are:

• Clinical SAEs which are not exempt from expedited reporting per the protocolspecified exempt serious event list and associated rules (Section 10.2.3); and

Version 1.0, 15 March 2021

• Any SAE related to the study intervention

Deaths, life-threatening events, and other SAEs considered potentially *related to the blinded investigational agent/placebo or study-supplied SOC treatment*, that occur from the time of infusion of the study intervention through the Day 180 visit must be recorded by sites on the SAE eCRF within 24 hours of site awareness.

Suspected unexpected serious adverse reactions (SUSARs) are reportable SAEs that are assessed as related to a study intervention and are unexpected per the Reference Safety Information of the IB for that intervention. SUSARs are reported from the INSIGHT Safety Office to applicable regulators in an expedited fashion. SUSARs that result in death or are immediately life-threatening are reported to regulators within 7 calendar days of receipt. All other SUSARs are reported to regulators within 15 calendar days. The INSIGHT Safety Office will generate a Safety Report for each SUSAR for distribution to investigators and other parties. Investigators are responsible for submitting Safety Reports to their overseeing IRB/EC per requirements.

SAEs that are not PSESEs and that are not related to the study intervention must be reported on the SAE eCRF within 3 days of site awareness. Such SAEs will be recorded through day 90.

SAEs are followed until the outcome of the SAE is known. If the outcome of an SAE is still unknown at the time of the final follow-up visit, the outcome will be entered in the database as "unknown."

10.2.5 Unanticipated Problems (UPs)

UPs must be reported via the appropriate eCRF to the INSIGHT Safety Office no later than 7 calendar days after site awareness of the event. Investigators are responsible for submitting UPs that are received from the sponsor to their overseeing IRB/EC. Investigators must also comply with all reporting requirements of their overseeing IRB/EC.

10.2.6 Deaths

All deaths are reported on the eCRF for deaths. Deaths considered **related to the study intervention** (blinded investigational agent/placebo or study-supplied SOC) must **also** be reported as an SAE.

10.2.7 Pregnancy

The investigator will collect pregnancy information on any female participants who are or become pregnant while participating in this study. (Where the agent-specific appendix excludes pregnant women, this applies to participants who become pregnant.)

The participant will be followed to determine the outcome of the pregnancy.

Male participants with partners who become pregnant

If an investigator learns that a male participant's partner becomes pregnant while the male participant is in this study, the investigator is asked to attempt to obtain information on the pregnancy, including its outcome. Information obtained on the status of the mother and child will be forwarded to the sponsor. Whether such monitoring will be required is outlined in the agent-specific appendix.

Version 1.0, 15 March 2021

10.3 Medical Monitor

A Medical Monitor appointed by the sponsor will be responsible for reviewing all SAEs, making an independent assessment of causality and expectedness, preparing sponsor safety reports, and communicating as needed with the DSMB and the Investigational New Drug (IND) holder through the study safety office or other mechanism mutually agreed to and documented.

10.4 Halting Enrollment for Safety Reasons

The sponsor medical monitor or the DSMB may request that enrollment be halted for safety reasons (e.g., unacceptably high rate of infusion-related reactions or other unanticipated AEs). If the study is temporarily halted or stopped for safety reasons, IRBs/ethics committees will be informed. The IND holder and sponsor, in collaboration with the protocol chair and the DSMB, will determine if it is safe to resume the study. The sponsor will notify the Site Investigators of this decision. The conditions for resumption of the study will be defined in this notification. The Site Investigators will notify their local IRBs/ethics committees of the decision to resume the study.

11 Statistical Analyses and Monitoring Guidelines

This section describes the analysis for primary and secondary outcomes stated in section 4. A more detailed statistical analysis plan (SAP) will be developed as a separate document. The SAP for each investigational agent may be updated by the blinded statisticians prior to unblinding for a specific treatment comparison.

All analyses will be intent to treat with comparisons to concurrent controls as described in section 6.3. It is anticipated that all study site pharmacies serving active sites will be randomizing all agents under study at any given time, but if this is not the case, comparisons will be restricted to the set of controls enrolled at study site pharmacies where the drug was available for randomization. Specifically, the control group for an investigational agent will consist of those participants who could have been randomized to the agent, but were randomized to a control group instead (i.e., randomized to the matched control group of one of the agents included in the randomization). Agents will be compared to controls, but not to each other, unless explicitly specified in the analysis plan.

All analyses will utilize 2-sided tests with a 5% significance level unless otherwise noted.

11.1 Analysis of the Primary Efficacy Endpoint

The primary ordinal outcome—*recovery*—assessed at Day 90 includes 6 ordered categories, best to worst, that assess 4 clinical states. The categories correspond to (1) the number of consecutive days at home off oxygen (3 categories); (2) receiving oxygen at home or living in a location other than home; (3) hospitalized for medical care or in hospice care; and (4) death. The percentage of patients in each category (6 total) will be compared at Day 90. The primary analysis will use a proportional odds model to estimate a summary odds ratio (OR) for being in a better category in the investigational agent group compared with placebo;an OR > 1.0 will reflect a more favorable outcome for patients randomized to the investigational agent vs. placebo.

The proportional odds regression model will include a treatment indicator, indicators for site pharmacy, and an indicator for receipt of mechanical ventilation at enrollment.

A test for the proportional odds assumption will be carried out. Even if the proportional odds assumption is violated, the overall summary OR will be the basis for inference in the

Version 1.0, 15 March 2021

primary analysis, given the robustness of proportional odds regression to violation of the proportionality assumption. In order to further characterize the summary OR and any deviations from proportional odds, separate ORs will be estimated for different dichotomized definitions of improvement formulated from the components of the ordinal outcome (e.g., alive versus dead, alive and out of the hospital versus hospitalized or dead, etc.)

11.2 Analyses of Secondary Efficacy Endpoints, Safety Outcomes, and Subgroups

Four key secondary objectives are to compare investigational agent with placebo for the following endpoints

1. Time to death through Day 90.

2. A composite endpoint that considers the number of days at home off oxygen and the time to death as well as the other categories of the primary ordinal recovery outcome.

3. Time to recovery defined as alive, at home, and off new oxygen.

4. Status on a 3-category ordinal outcome that includes (a) alive and respiratory failure free, (b) alive but still in respiratory failure, and (c) dead, assessed at Day 90.

Time to death will be summarized using a log-rank test, stratified by receipt of invasive mechanical ventilation at randomization and study site pharmacy. The hazard ratio (investigational agent vs control) will be estimated using a stratified Cox proportional hazards model, and the proportion of participants who died by fixed time points (for example, Day 28 or Day 90) will be estimated using Kaplan-Meier estimates.

The composite outcome will be summarized with a win ratio statistic that ranks patients by time to death (instead of just survival status at Day 90), hospitalization at Day 90, home on oxygen or at not at home, and duration of time (in days instead of weekly intervals) at home off oxygen. Matching on mechanical ventilation and a disease progression risk score at entry will be used to estimate the win ratio statistic.

The cumulative incidence functions for recovery taking into account death as a competing risk will be estimated using the Aalen-Johansen method and compared using Gray's test. The recovery rate ratio will be estimated using a Fine-Gray regression model. The comparisons between treatment groups will be stratified by receipt of invasive mechanical ventilation at randomization and by study site pharmacy. Recovery is defined using the last-off method, as described in section 4.

If there is evidence of benefit for an investigational agent versus placebo for the primary ordinal outcome, the comparison of the investigational agent with placebo for these three outcomes will help to inform the interpretation of the treatment effect.

The primary safety outcome is a composite of grade 3 or 4 events, SAEs, PSESEs (see Section 10.2.3), or death through Day 5, and tests for differences between treatment arms will be conducted with a Cochran Mantel Haenszel test stratified by study site pharmacy and receipt of invasive mechanical ventilation at study entry, comparing the proportion of participants who had experienced any of these events by Day 5. Treatment differences for each of the components of this composite outcome will also be summarized. This composite safety outcome will also be assessed at Day 28. Time to event analysis will also be used to summarize this composite safety outcome. Proportions of participants who

<u>Therapeutics for Severely III Inpatients with COVID-19 (TESICO) Master Protocol</u> Version 1.0, 15 March 2021

experienced any of these events will be compared using stratified Mantel Haenszel tests and logistic regression. SAEs and grade 3/4 events will be classified by system organ class

according to MedDRA®. Safety analyses also include infusion reactions collected during or within 2 hours after the infusion of the investigational agent or placebo. Proportions of participants who experienced infusion reactions or prematurely terminated infusions will be summarized by study arm, and Mantel Haenszel tests will be used to test for differences across arms.

Several other secondary efficacy outcomes will also be investigated. The models will include an indicator for treatment group, and stratify by study site pharmacy and receipt of invasive mechanical ventilation at study entry as appropriate. Time from study entry to discharge from the hospital admission during which randomization took place will be analyzed using the same methods as described above for time to recovery. Readmissions will be summarized using methods for recurrent events (i.e. those who are readmitted will reenter the risk set).

Clinical organ failure is a composite of many different organ-specific events, listed in section 4.1.2, item 5. This outcome will be summarized as part of both safety and efficacy analyses. The incidence of organ failure, serious infection or death through Day 28 will be compared between arms using the log-rank test and Cox proportional hazards models. In addition, specific components (e.g., cardiac and vascular dysfunction, or the composite of cardiovascular outcomes and thromboembolic events described in section 4.1.2, item 10) will be analyzed using time-to-event analyses under competing risks, as described above for the primary analysis. Proportions of participants who experienced organ failure, serious infection or death will be summarized and compared between treatment arms at fixed time points using stratified Mantel Haenszel tests, overall and for specific organ dysfunctions.

The impact of study arm on the primary efficacy (recovery) and safety outcomes (primary composite safety endpoint, composite of grade 3 or 4 events, SAEs, PSESEs, and death through Day 5 and through Day 28, composite of hospital readmissions and death through Day 90) along with mortality will be assessed for subgroups defined by baseline characteristics, including demographics, baseline classification of "home", duration of symptoms at enrollment, clinical history and presentation, and tests for homogeneity of the treatment effect across subgroups will be carried out. Additionally, subgroup analyses will be conducted for subgroups formed by a disease progression risk score at baseline. The construction of this risk score is described in section 5.2. Subgroup analyses will be interpreted with caution due to limited power and uncontrolled type I error.

11.3 Data Monitoring Guidelines for an Independent DSMB

An independent DSMB will review interim data on a regular basis and use pre-specified guidelines to identify agents with evidence of harm based on a difference in all-cause mortality. The DSMB will also monitor other adverse events and safety signals.

As a guideline, we do not recommend early termination for benefit based on the primary endpoint, which is most reliably estimated at Day 90. In addition, given the relatively short follow-up period of 90 days for this target population, full follow-up for the primary and all secondary endpoints is considered important to evaluate the investigational agents to be studied. An exception to this guideline is if the DSMB believe there is clear and substantial evidence of a mortality benefit for an investigational agent

<u>The</u>rapeutics for <u>Severely III Inpatients with COVID-19</u> (TESICO) Master Protocol Version 1.0, 15 March 2021

11.3.1 Monitoring Guidelines for Interim Analyses

Multiple distinctive features of potential therapies for COVID-19-associated ARDS contribute to the monitoring guidelines for interim analyses within the master protocol. (If a specific investigational agent requires an alternative approach to interim monitoring, those details will be specified in the relevant agent-specific appendix.)

First, in many cases, potential agents may be relevant not only to COVID-19-associated ARDS but to other forms of ARDS. As such, even if an agent did not achieve its efficacy endpoint, enrollment to the planned sample size is expected to provide important insights relevant to future investigations in ARDS. These insights may especially pertain to potential effects among subgroups of patients or less common safety events of interest.

Second, the primary endpoint of this trial requires 90 days of follow-up since the final classification of a patient's recovery requires knowledge of their status on Day 90. While this duration of follow-up for the primary endpoint is essential for a patient-centered result at the conclusion of the trial, in the context of the anticipated rapid enrolment of the trial, this endpoint is infeasible to use for stopping boundaries for either efficacy or futility on the basis of conditional power.

Third, enrollment should stop early for any agent that shows clear evidence for increased mortality. A stopping boundary for harm is thus indicated.

Fourth, while it is important to avoid premature stopping for a potentially non-reproducible efficacy signal for the primary endpoint, clear and substantial improvement in mortality may appropriately lead to a DSMB recommendation to stop early for efficacy.

On the basis of these and related factors, the monitoring guidelines for this master protocol will focus on a stopping boundary for harm, a stopping boundary for efficacy based on mortality, and ongoing close monitoring of safety by the DSMB, based on the totality of evidence.

As a guideline to the DSMB for assessment of harm, a Haybittle-Peto boundary using a 2.5 standard deviation (SD) for the first 100 participants enrolled and 2.0 SD afterwards. Harm will be assessed using all-cause mortality, specifically using a hazard ratio from a proportional hazard model for the time to death associated with the investigational agent. As an additional guideline to the DSMB for assessment of efficacy, a Haybittle-Peto boundary using a 3.0 SD threshold will be used after 100 patients have been enrolled and followed for at least 5 days. Efficacy will be assessed using all-cause mortality, specifically using a hazard ratio from a proportional hazard model for the time to death associated with the investigational agent.

12 Protection of Human Subjects and Other Ethical Considerations

12.1 Participating Clinical Sites and Local Review of Protocol and Informed Consent

This study will be conducted by major medical centers participating in INSIGHT and partnering networks, including especially NHLBI networks. It is anticipated that potential participants will be recruited by the site investigators (and/or their delegates, as appropriate) and/or that positive SARS-CoV-2 laboratory testing will be used to enquire about potential enrollment. Information about this study will be disseminated to health care workers at enrolling sites.

Version 1.0, 15 March 2021

Prior to the initiation of the study at each clinical research site, the protocol, informed consent form and any participant information materials will be submitted to and approved by a central/national IRB/EC and/or the site's local IRB/EC as required. Likewise, any future amendments to the study protocol will be submitted and approved by the same IRB(s) or EC(s). After IRB/EC approval, sites must register for this study before screening potential participants, and must register for any protocol amendments. Protocol registration procedures are described in the PIM.

12.2 Ethical Conduct of the Study

The study will be conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki in its current version; the requirements of Good Clinical Practice (GCP) as defined in Guidelines, EU Clinical Trials Directive (2001/20/EC), and EU GCP Directive (2005/28/EC); International Council for Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Pharmaceuticals for Human Use (ICH) Guidelines; Human Subject Protection and Data Protection Acts; the US Office for Human Research Protections (OHRP); or with the local law and regulation, whichever affords greater protection of human subjects.

12.3 Informed Consent of Study Participants

Informed consent must be obtained (see sample in Appendix A) prior to conducting any study-related procedures. Many of the patients approached for participation in this research protocol will often have limitations of decision-making abilities due to their critical illness. Hence, some patients will not be able to provide informed consent. For patients who are incapacitated, informed consent may be obtained from a legally-authorized representative (LAR). Because the investigational agents are intended to treat critical illness and the impairment of decisional capacity is intrinsic to the critical illness, the use of LARs for consent is appropriate for this trial. The use of consent from LARs will follow applicable legislation (e.g., in the United States, 45 CFR 46.116 and 45 CFR 46 102 (i)). Capacity will be assessed according to local standards and policies. Local standards and policies will also determine who is legally authorized to consent for an individual who is incapacitated. Should the individual regain capacity during the study, their direct reconsent should be obtained at the earliest feasible opportunity.

Electronic consent may be used when a validated and secure electronic system is in place to do so, if in compliance with national legislation and approved by the responsible IRB/EC. Other methods of obtaining documentation of consent may be used when site staff are unable to be in direct contact with a potential participant or a legally-authorized representative due to infection-control restrictions. No matter how the participant's consent is obtained and documented, it is expected that consent will be preceded by research staff providing an explanation of the research and an opportunity for the participant (or their LAR) to have questions answered. Sites should follow all available local or national guidance on suitable methods for obtaining documentation of participant (or their LAR) consent.

12.4 Confidentiality of Study Participants

The confidentiality of all study participants will be protected in accordance with GCP guidelines and national regulations.

12.5 Regulatory Oversight

Sites in the US will conduct this trial under the terms of the IND and will adhere to FDA regulations found in 21 CFR 312, Subpart D. Sites in countries other than the US will not conduct the trial under the IND. As stated in Section 12.2 above, all sites will conduct the

<u>Therapeutics for Severely III Inpatients with COVID-19 (TESICO) Master Protocol</u> Version 1.0, 15 March 2021

trial in accordance with the requirements of GCP as codified in their local law and regulation, under the oversight of their institution and competent regulatory authority.

As part of fulfilling GCP and FDA requirements for adequate trial monitoring, multiple modalities will be employed. The objectives of trial monitoring are to ensure that participant rights and safety are protected, to assure the integrity and accuracy of key trial data, and to verify that the study has been conducted in accord with GCP standards and applicable regulations.

A specific risk-based protocol monitoring plan will be developed. The plan will include strategies for central monitoring of accumulating data and will take into account site-level quality control procedures. On-site monitoring visits for targeted source document verification and review of regulatory and study pharmacy files will be conducted when possible, but these tasks will most likely need to be handled remotely during the pandemic. The monitoring plan will outline the frequency of this aspect of monitoring based on such factors as study enrollment, data collection status and regulatory obligations.

<u>The</u>rapeutics for <u>Severely III Inpatients with COVID-19 (TESICO) Master Protocol</u> Version 1.0, 15 March 2021

Appendix A

Sample Informed Consent form Short Title: Therapeutics for Severely III Inpatients with COVID-19 (TESICO)

- **Sponsored by:** The University of Minnesota (UMN)/National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID)
- **Funded by:** The National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI) and National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID), US National Institutes of Health (NIH)

Full Title of the Study: A Multicenter, Adaptive, Randomized Blinded Controlled Trial of the Safety and Efficacy of Investigational Therapeutics for Severely III Patients with COVID-19

CONSENT FOR PARTICIPATING IN AN NIH-FUNDED RESEARCH STUDY

<u>Therapeutics for Severely III Inpatients with COVID-19 (TESICO) Master Protocol</u> Version 1.0, 15 March 2021

Appendix B	Sc	hedu	e c	of As	sess	men	its									
	Screen or Day 0	Day 0	Study Day													
Day	-1/0 ¹	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	14	28	42	60	75	90	18 0
Acceptable deviation from day	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+1	+2	+3	+3	+5	+5	+ 10	± 14
ELIGIBILITY & BASELINE DATA																
Informed consent	Х															
Baseline medical and social history	Х															
Baseline concomitant medications	Х															
Symptom-directed physical exam by the clinical team (includes vital signs)	Х															
Nasal swab for virus detection and review SARS-CoV-2 test results	Х															
Baseline study labs (CBC with differential, ferritin, CRP, BMP, INR, D-DIMER, AST, ALT, bilirubin) ²	Х															
Research sample storage (includes DNA and RNA at baseline among patients who consent to genetics)	X															
Urine pregnancy test or other documentation of pregnancy status	Х															
Randomization		Х														

<u>Therapeutics for Severely III Inpatients with COVID-19 (TESICO) Master Protocol</u> Version 1.0, 15 March 2021

Study Drug/Placebo		Х	Х	Х												
Administration ³																
Assess infusion		Х	Х	Х												
completion and																
adverse reactions ³																
STUDY																
PROCEDURES																
Post-randomization		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	X ⁴	Х					
concomitant																
medications																
On-study labs (BMP,		Х	Х	Х												
INR, D-DIMER, AST,																
ALT, bilirubin) ^{2,5}																
Clinical labs (BMP,					Х		X7									
INR, D-DIMER, AST,																
ALT, bilirubin) ^{5,6}																
Research sample					X		X7									
storage (includes RNA																
at day 3 among																
patients who consent																
to genetics) ⁴	ļ															
Vital signs ⁴	Х	X	X	Х			X			Х						
Hospitalization status					Х		Х		Х	Х	Х		Х		Х	Х
Changes in										Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	
residence/facility																
Interim medical history									Х	Х	Х		Х		X ⁸	X ⁸
Oxygen support (for	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	X4						
WHO/NIH/TICO																
ordinal outcome)																
Clinical AEs of grade 3		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х			Х	Х					
and 4 severity																
SAEs and PSESEs						Re	eport	thro	ugh	90 da	ays					
SAEs related to study Report as they occur																
Interventions																
Unanticipated		Report as they occur														
problems																
Deaths and							Rep	port a	as th	ey o	ccur					
admissions																

Version 1.0, 15 March 2021

Hospitalization	Report upon hospital discharge
Summary	

¹ Screening must be performed within 24 hours of randomization.

²These laboratory evaluations will only be performed as study procedures if they are unavailable clinically on that study day

³ Duration of study drug administration may vary by investigational agent; the sample provided here is for 3 successive days. Where the duration of study drug administration varies from this schedule, the duration will be specified in the relevant agent-specific Appendix H.

⁴ The Day 14 visit will record values for Days 8–14.

⁵ These will be not be collected after hospital discharge.

⁶These laboratory assessments will only include clinically available results

⁷ The Day-5 draw will occur only among patients who remain in the intensive care unit (ICU) or equivalent.

⁸ Includes telephone administration of the Euro-QOL-5D-5L instrument.

<u>Therapeutics for Severely III Inpatients with COVID-19 (TESICO) Master Protocol</u> Version 1.0, 15 March 2021

Appendix C TESICO / ACTIV-3b protocol team

To oversee the implementation of this master protocol, a protocol team will be formed and include:

- Protocol co-chair(s)
- NIAID, Division of Clinical Research representatives
- NHLBI Program Officers
- INSIGHT University of Minnesota representatives
- INSIGHT International Coordinating Center representatives
- Representatives from collaborating trials networks, including PETAL, CTSN, and VA
- Representatives from collaborating laboratory representatives
- Representatives from collaborating manufacturers of investigational agents
- Representatives from site investigators
- Study biostatisticians
- Community representative(s)

A core team consisting of the co-chair(s), ICC leaders, NIH representatives, study statisticians, representatives from collaborating trials networks, and other representatives and the INSIGHT PI will also regularly convene to review study progress and address study conduct and administrative issues that arise.

<u>The</u>rapeutics for <u>Severely III Inpatients with COVID-19 (TESICO) Master Protocol</u> Version 1.0, 15 March 2021

Appendix D REFERENCES ON THE INSIGHT WEBSITE

The INSIGHT website (**www.insight-trials.org**) will maintain updated links to the following documents referenced in the INSIGHT 014 protocol and to other information pertinent to the study:

- DAIDS toxicity table: (https://rsc.niaid.nih.gov/clinical-research-sites/daidsadverse-event-grading-tables)
- INSIGHT Publications and Presentations Policy (http://insight.ccbr.umn.edu/resources/P&P_policy.pdf)
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC) guidance on how to handle infection control measures (https://www.cdc.gov/sars/guidance/i-infection/healthcare.html and https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/publications-data/infection-prevention-andcontrol-and-preparedness-covid-19-healthcare-settings).
- Treatment guidelines, incl from NIH and WHO (https://www.covid19treatmentguidelines.nih.gov/, https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/technicalguidance/patient-management, https://www.idsociety.org/practiceguideline/covid-19-guideline-treatment-and-management/, https://www.hematology.org/covid-19/covid-19-and-vte-anticoagulation and https://www.ersnet.org/covid-19-guidelines-and-recommendations-directory)

<u>Therapeutics for Severely III Inpatients with COVID-19 (TESICO) Master Protocol</u> Version 1.0, 15 March 2021

Appendix E LIST OF ACRONYMS

ACTIV	Accelerating COVID-19 Therapeutic Interventions and Vaccines		
ACTT	Adaptive COVID-19 Treatment Trial		
AE	adverse event		
ARDS	acute respiratory distress syndrome		
CDC	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (US)		
CHF	Congestive heart failure		
CI	confidence interval		
COVID-19	Coronavirus-Induced Disease 2019		
CTSN	Cardiothoracic Surgical Trials Network		
DNA	deoxyribonucleic acid		
DSMB	Data and Safety Monitoring Board		
EC	ethics committee		
ECMO	extracorporeal membrane oxygenation		
EU	European Union		
FDA	Food and Drug Administration (US)		
GCP	Good Clinical Practice		
GDPR	General Data Protection Regulation		
HR	hazard ratio		
ICC	International Coordinating Center		
ICH	International Council for Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Pharmaceuticals for Human Use		
ICU	intensive care unit		
IEC	Institutional Ethics Committee		
INSIGHT	International Network for Strategic Initiatives in Global HIV Trials		
IQR	interquartile range		
IRB	Institutional Review Board		
IV	intravenous		

<u>Therapeutics for Severely III Inpatients with COVID-19 (TESICO) Master Protocol</u> Version 1.0, 15 March 2021

LAR	Legal Authorized Representative
MI	Myocardial infarction
mL	milliliter
NAT	Nucleic acid test (to identify genomic material; some uses amplification)
NHLBI	National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, NIH (US)
NIAID	National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, NIH (US)
NIH	National Institutes of Health (US)
NIHSS	National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale/Score
nMAb	Neutralizing Monoclonal Antibodies
OHRP	Office for Human Research Protections (US)
OR	odds ratio
PCR	polymerase chain reaction
PETAL	Prevention and Early Treatment of Acute Lung Injury Network
PHI	personal health information
PIM	Protocol Instruction Manual
RNA	ribonucleic acid
SAE	serious adverse event
SARS-CoV-1	severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 1
SARS-CoV-2	severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2
SOC	standard of care
SUSAR	suspected unexpected serious adverse reaction
тос	trial oversight committee
UMN	University of Minnesota
UP	Unanticipated problem
US	United States of America
VA	Veterans Administration
WHO	World Health Organization

Therapeutics for Severely III Inpatients with COVID-19 (TESICO) Master ProtocolVersion 1.0, 15 March 2021Appendix FThis Is Intentionally Blank

Therapeutics for Severely III Inpatients with COVID-19 (TESICO) Master ProtocolVersion 1.0, 15 March 2021Appendix GThis Is Intentionally Blank

<u>Therapeutics for Severely III Inpatients with COVID-19 (TESICO) Master Protocol</u> Version 1.0, 15 March 2021

Appendix H Investigational Agent.

This appendix will include the following information for each investigational agent studied. The rationale for studying the agent and the description and administration of the agent. Also, as appropriate, specific AEs observed to be possibly associated with the agent in question, and how to monitor for, clinically handle and report such AEs, should they arise. Changes in endpoint, SOC, inclusion and/or exclusion criteria, sample size estimation and approach to interim analyses and data analyses will also be included if appropriate for the investigation of the agent in question relative to what is stated in the master protocol. Finally, the text will also clarify whether the manufacturer of the investigational agent plans to pursue licensure in the countries where the trial will occur, should the investigational agent be demonstrated in the trial to have overall benefit.

Introduction/Rationale for studying the agent

- Potential risks and benefits of agent
- Motivation for agent selection with consideration of results from trials of other agents
- Agent-specific eligibility criteria
- Description of investigational agent
 - o Administration and duration
 - o Formulation and preparation
 - Supply, distribution, and accountability
 - Contraindicated medications
 - o Precautionary medications
- Clinical and laboratory evaluations in addition to master protocol
 - \circ Timing
 - Special instructions
- Clinical management issues
 - \circ Infusion-related reactions
 - o Hypersensitivity
- Pregnancy and breast-feeding considerations
- Criteria for discontinuation of infusion
- References

I1. Overview

Currently, the only licensed treatment for COVID-19 is remdesivir, but the *registration trials for remdesivir were too small to demonstrate efficacy in patients with critical illness from COVID-19.* Considering the number of randomized trials being conducted to study treatments for COVID-19, it is likely that other effective treatments will be identified during performance of this master protocol.

When treatments for COVID-19 are demonstrated to have safety and efficacy, those treatments should be considered in designing new studies. Depending on the scientific question, an experimental treatment will be coupled with or compared to a known effective treatment. When such known effective treatments are incorporated into both arms, they are called "background therapy" or standard of care (SOC). In this case, the scientific question addressed is whether a new treatment added to an already effective treatment is superior to the established effective treatment alone.

SOC may include general supportive care appropriate to the participant's clinical status, and specific therapeutic agents, and measures to reduce risk of SARS-CoV-2 transmission to the participant and health care givers.

As stated in section 5.1, the objective of this protocol is to evaluate investigational agents aimed at treating patients with critical illness from SARS-CoV-2 infection - for safety and efficacy compared to placebo control, when all eligible participants receive background therapy that is considered effective. Consistent with precedent, we refer to background therapy as standard of care (SOC). All participants will receive an investigational agent + SOC vs. placebo + SOC.

Below, principles for defining SOC are provided, and recommendations and guidance on SOC are given. Whether an individual SOC treatment is provided by the trial or not is based on multiple factors, including clinical and scientific considerations. In some cases, the decision to administer an SOC treatment is left entirely to the research participant's primary medical team.

12. Guiding principles for inclusion of measures as part of SOC

The SOC will be regularly updated based on review of the scientific literature and updated authoritative treatment guidelines on this topic. The standard for including one or more measures as SOC, includes a careful review of the existing literature and current guidelines (see Appendix D). As for therapeutic agents, those having been shown to be clinically effective in properly powered Phase III or Phase IV trials (i.e., high quality/level 1 evidence) and with a reasonable safety profile will be considered by the protocol team for inclusion, if recommended by at least one major treatment guideline. This evaluation may also lead to a statement that one or more agents are either not recommended or should not be used as part of SOC. As knowledge will likely continue to accumulate rapidly, the protocol leadership team may occasionally decide to include or exclude an intervention as part of SOC before it is recommended in at least one major treatment guideline. In such cases, the relevant literature that lead to the determination will be cited.

Version 1.0, 15 March 2021

The use of a given SOC intervention may apply to all or to a subgroup of the participants in the master protocol based on available evidence – the subgroup may be defined based on severity of disease, a clinical or laboratory defined feature, or a clinically or laboratory defined contraindication for using the SOC treatment. An SOC agent may be mandated for participants (required for protocol entry); mandated where not contraindicated (participants may enter if that SOC is unsuitable, and not receive that SOC); or recommended subject to clinical discretion. SOC may be protocol-supplied where mandated.

The master protocol acknowledges that there may be local variation in the clinical availability of one or more agents chosen to be part of mandated protocol-supplied SOC from site to site. While acknowledging risks of inadvertent coercion, the importance of the scientific question (how candidate agents perform against the background of the current SOC treatments) is a crucial, high-priority question. There is no possible way to answer the question of efficacy against the background of an already proven effective agent without providing the agent – if not readily available - within the trial.

I3. Current SOC in the master protocol:

I3.1 Remdesivir

Although remdesivir is licensed for use in the United States and is SOC for most hospitalized patients with COVID-19, the key registration trials⁵⁰ included insufficient patients in this subgroup to provide strong evidence in favor of remdesivir for critically ill patients. It is anticipated that this master protocol may include a placebo-controlled investigation of remdesivir, possibly in a factorial design, in this patient population. Thus remdesivir is not considered SOC presently for this protocol: the protocol does not recommend routine initiation of remdesivir in this patient population (except potentially as an investigational agent). For patients who have already initiated remdesivir by the time of enrollment, this protocol makes no recommendation regarding whether to continue or discontinue remdesivir as part of background therapy. (For patients enrolling in a remdesivir randomization, see the remdesivir appendix for further guidance on receipt of remdesivir prior to randomization.)

I3.2 Dexamethasone and Other Corticosteroids

Based on the findings of the RECOVERY trial,³⁹ a meta-analysis of glucocorticoid trials,⁵¹ and in line with NIH treatment guideline (Appendix D), it is recommended to consider initiation of corticosteroid therapy in participants with COVID-19 who have respiratory failure—the target population of this master protocol. Corticosteroids may increase the probability of reactivating latent infections including herpes viruses and tuberculosis, hyperglycemia, hypernatremia, secondary infections, and may delay clearance of SARS-CoV-2, but the balance of evidence favors glucocorticoid therapy. Treatment with a corticosteroid is recommended for a total of 10 days, using doses outlined in this table.

Corticosteroid name	Daily dose
Dexamethasone	6 mg PO or IV
Prednisone	~40 mg PO
Methylprednisolone	~32 mg IV
Hydrocortisone	~160 mg IV

Version 1.0, 15 March 2021

I3.3 Other Supportive Care

All participants will be given *supportive care* for most complications of severe COVID-19 including: pneumonia, hypoxemic respiratory failure/ARDS, sepsis and septic shock, cardiomyopathy and arrhythmia, acute kidney injury, and complications from prolonged hospitalization, including secondary bacterial infections, thromboembolism, gastrointestinal bleeding, and critical illness polyneuropathy/myopathy. Links to details of such care can be found in Appendix D. Supportive care components of SOC include lung-protective ventilation for patients who require invasive mechanical ventilation⁵² (high quality evidence) and prone positioning for mechanically ventilated patients with more than moderate ARDS (high quality evidence^{53,54}), treatment with anti-bacterial agents for patients believed to have bacterial infection (high quality evidence), guidelines-compliant management of sepsis when it is present (moderate quality evidence).⁵⁵ Use or non-use of extra-corporeal life support (ECLS) is not mandated as part of SOC; nor is any specific approach to renal replacement therapy.

Consideration should be given to the use of pharmacological thromboprophylaxis (thrombosis prevention) in line with local clinical guidelines for hospitalized patients as appropriate for an individual participant, in addition to approaches to maintain mobility and minimize other thrombotic risks. Standard approaches to thromboprophylaxis supported by high quality evidence include the use of low molecular weight heparin (for example, enoxaparin 0.5 m/kg daily), which is the preferred agent in some COVID-19 treatment guidelines. However other standard approaches in accordance with local and institutional guidelines and the medical circumstances of an individual participant may also be considered, including the use of low (prophylactic) dose unfractionated heparin (high quality evidence). Specialist advice should be sought for participants with pre-existing prothrombotic states, or who are pregnant.

I3.4 Cautions and Contraindications

It is not recommended to use chloroquine as SOC due to excess harm and no demonstrable benefit. Neither hydroxychloroquine nor chloroquine have documented clinical benefit, and hence are not recommended for use as SOC. Similarly, it is not recommended to use lopinavir/ritonavir as SOC, since there are studies suggesting no clinical benefit.^{56,57} These recommendations are consistent with current guidelines by the Infectious Disease Society of America, as included in Appendix D.

I3.5 SARS-CoV-2 Infection Control

Minimum standards of protection to *reduce the risk of SARS-CoV-2 transmission* from trial participants to research personnel, participants in other trials, or patients treated in the same facility can be found in links displayed in Appendix D.

Version 1.0, 15 March 2021

Appendix J References

- 1. Johns Hopkins University of Medicine. Johns Hopkins Coronavirus Resource Center. Johns Hopkins University of Medicine. (https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/).
- 2. Guo T, Fan Y, Chen M, et al. Cardiovascular Implications of Fatal Outcomes of Patients With Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). JAMA Cardiology 2020;5(7):811-818. DOI: 10.1001/jamacardio.2020.1017.
- 3. Klok FA, Kruip M, van der Meer NJM, et al. Incidence of thrombotic complications in critically ill ICU patients with COVID-19. Thromb Res 2020;191:145-147. DOI: 10.1016/j.thromres.2020.04.013.
- 4. World Health Organization. Timeline: WHO's COVID-19 response. (https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/interactive-timeline).
- 5. Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, et al. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. Lancet (London, England) 2020;395(10229):1054-1062. (In eng). DOI: 10.1016/s0140-6736(20)30566-3.
- 6. Ji D, Zhang D, Xu J, et al. Prediction for Progression Risk in Patients With COVID-19 Pneumonia: The CALL Score. Clinical infectious diseases : an official publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America 2020;71(6):1393-1399. (In eng). DOI: 10.1093/cid/ciaa414.
- CDC COVID-19 Response Team. Preliminary Estimates of the Prevalence of Selected Underlying Health Conditions Among Patients with Coronavirus Disease 2019 - United States, February 12-March 28, 2020. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2020;69(13):382-386. (In eng). DOI: 10.15585/mmwr.mm6913e2.
- 8. Guan WJ, Zhong NS. Clinical Characteristics of Covid-19 in China. Reply. New England journal of medicine 2020;382(19):1861-1862. (In eng). DOI: 10.1056/NEJMc2005203.
- 9. Du Z, Xu X, Wu Y, Wang L, Cowling BJ, Meyers LA. Serial Interval of COVID-19 among Publicly Reported Confirmed Cases. Emerg Infect Dis 2020;26(6):1341-1343. (In eng). DOI: 10.3201/eid2606.200357.
- 10. Menni C, Valdes AM, Freidin MB, et al. Real-time tracking of self-reported symptoms to predict potential COVID-19. Nature medicine 2020;26(7):1037-1040. DOI: 10.1038/s41591-020-0916-2.
- 11. Kwong JC, Schwartz KL, Campitelli MA, et al. Acute Myocardial Infarction after Laboratory-Confirmed Influenza Infection. New England journal of medicine 2018;378(4):345-353. (In eng). DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1702090.
- 12. Yang X, Yu Y, Xu J, et al. Clinical course and outcomes of critically ill patients with SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a single-centered, retrospective, observational study. The Lancet Respiratory medicine 2020;8(5):475-481. (In eng). DOI: 10.1016/s2213-2600(20)30079-5.
- 13. Wang D, Hu B, Hu C, et al. Clinical Characteristics of 138 Hospitalized Patients With 2019 Novel Coronavirus-Infected Pneumonia in Wuhan, China. J Am Med Assoc 2020;323(11):1061-1069. (In eng). DOI: 10.1001/jama.2020.1585.
- Grasselli G, Zangrillo A, Zanella A, et al. Baseline Characteristics and Outcomes of 1591 Patients Infected With SARS-CoV-2 Admitted to ICUs of the Lombardy Region, Italy. JAMA: Journal of the American Medical Association 2020;323(16):1574-1581. DOI: 10.1001/jama.2020.5394.
- 15. Intensive Care National Audit & Research Centre (ICNARC). ICNARC report on COVID-19 in critical care: England, Wales and Northern Ireland. London: Intensive Care National

Version 1.0, 15 March 2021

Audit & Research Centre (ICNARC), Dec 11 2020. (https://www.icnarc.org/Our-Audit/Audits/Cmp/Reports).

- 16. Choodari-Oskooei B, Parmar MK, Royston P, Bowden J. Impact of lack-of-benefit stopping rules on treatment effect estimates of two-arm multi-stage (TAMS) trials with time to event outcome. Trials 2013;14:23. (In eng). DOI: 10.1186/1745-6215-14-23.
- 17. He X, Lau EHY, Wu P, et al. Temporal dynamics in viral shedding and transmissibility of COVID-19. Nature medicine 2020;26(5):672-675. (In eng). DOI: 10.1038/s41591-020-0869-5.
- 18. Proschan MA, Lan KK, Wittes G, Turk J. Section 3.2. Statistical Monitoring of Clinical Trials: A Unified Approach. New York: Springer-Verlag; 2006.
- To KK, Tsang OT, Leung WS, et al. Temporal profiles of viral load in posterior oropharyngeal saliva samples and serum antibody responses during infection by SARS-CoV-2: an observational cohort study. The Lancet Infectious diseases 2020;20(5):565-574. (In eng). DOI: 10.1016/s1473-3099(20)30196-1.
- Yu F, Yan L, Wang N, et al. Quantitative Detection and Viral Load Analysis of SARS-CoV-2 in Infected Patients. Clinical infectious diseases : an official publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America 2020;71(15):793-798. (In eng). DOI: 10.1093/cid/ciaa345.
- 21. O'Quigley J, Pepe M, Fisher L. Continual reassessment method: a practical design for phase 1 clinical trials in cancer. Biometrics 1990;46(1):33-48. (In eng).
- 22. Chen W, Lan Y, Yuan X, et al. Detectable 2019-nCoV viral RNA in blood is a strong indicator for the further clinical severity. Emerging microbes & infections 2020;9(1):469-473. (In eng). DOI: 10.1080/22221751.2020.1732837.
- 23. Bermejo-Martin JF, González-Rivera M, Almansa R, et al. Viral RNA load in plasma is associated with critical illness and a dysregulated host response in COVID-19. Critical Care 2020;24(1):691. DOI: 10.1186/s13054-020-03398-0.
- 24. Wölfel R, Corman VM, Guggemos W, et al. Virological assessment of hospitalized patients with COVID-2019. Nature 2020;581(7809):465-469. (In eng). DOI: 10.1038/s41586-020-2196-x.
- 25. Chau NVV, Thanh Lam V, Thanh Dung N, et al. The natural history and transmission potential of asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection. Clinical infectious diseases : an official publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America 2020;71(10):2679–2687. DOI: 10.1093/cid/ciaa711.
- Hue S, Beldi-Ferchiou A, Bendib I, et al. Uncontrolled Innate and Impaired Adaptive Immune Responses in Patients with COVID-19 Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2020;202(11):1509-1519. (In eng). DOI: 10.1164/rccm.202005-1885OC.
- Matthay MA, Leligdowicz A, Liu KD. Biological Mechanisms of COVID-19 Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2020;202(11):1489-1491. (In eng). DOI: 10.1164/rccm.202009-3629ED.
- Desai N, Neyaz A, Szabolcs A, et al. Temporal and spatial heterogeneity of host response to SARS-CoV-2 pulmonary infection. Nature Communications 2020;11(1):6319. DOI: 10.1038/s41467-020-20139-7.
- 29. Hadjadj J, Yatim N, Barnabei L, et al. Impaired type I interferon activity and inflammatory responses in severe COVID-19 patients. Science (New York, NY) 2020;369(6504):718-724. (In eng). DOI: 10.1126/science.abc6027.
- 30. Qin C, Zhou L, Hu Z, et al. Dysregulation of Immune Response in Patients With Coronavirus 2019 (COVID-19) in Wuhan, China. Clinical infectious diseases : an official

Version 1.0, 15 March 2021

publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America 2020;71(15):762-768. DOI: 10.1093/cid/ciaa248.

- 31. Wang F, Hou H, Luo Y, et al. The laboratory tests and host immunity of COVID-19 patients with different severity of illness. JCI Insight 2020;5(10). DOI: 10.1172/jci.insight.137799.
- 32. Salama C, Han J, Yau L, et al. Tocilizumab in Patients Hospitalized with Covid-19 Pneumonia. N Engl J Med 2020 (In eng). DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa2030340.
- 33. Kalil AC, Patterson TF, Mehta AK, et al. Baricitinib plus Remdesivir for Hospitalized Adults with Covid-19. New England Journal of Medicine 2020.
- 34. Grasselli G, Tonetti T, Protti A, et al. Pathophysiology of COVID-19-associated acute respiratory distress syndrome: a multicentre prospective observational study. The lancet Respiratory medicine 2020;8(12):1201-1208.
- 35. Matthay MA, Arabi YM, Siegel ER, et al. Phenotypes and personalized medicine in the acute respiratory distress syndrome. Intensive care medicine 2020;46(12):2136-2152. (In eng). DOI: 10.1007/s00134-020-06296-9.
- 36. Calfee CS, Delucchi KL, Sinha P, et al. Acute respiratory distress syndrome subphenotypes and differential response to simvastatin: secondary analysis of a randomised controlled trial. The Lancet Respiratory Medicine 2018;6(9):691-698.
- 37. Leisman DE, Ronner L, Pinotti R, et al. Cytokine elevation in severe and critical COVID-19: a rapid systematic review, meta-analysis, and comparison with other inflammatory syndromes. The Lancet Respiratory Medicine 2020.
- Rice TW, Janz DR. In Defense of Evidence-based Medicine for the Treatment of COVID-19 Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome. Ann Am Thorac Soc 2020;17(7):787-789. (In eng). DOI: 10.1513/AnnalsATS.202004-325IP.
- Horby P, Lim WS, Emberson JR, et al. Dexamethasone in Hospitalized Patients with Covid-19 - Preliminary Report. N Engl J Med 2020 (In eng). DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa2021436.
- 40. Matthay MA, Wick KD. Corticosteroids, COVID-19 pneumonia, and acute respiratory distress syndrome. The Journal of clinical investigation 2020;130(12).
- 41. Unroe M, Kahn JM, Carson SS, et al. One-year trajectories of care and resource utilization for recipients of prolonged mechanical ventilation: a cohort study. Ann Intern Med 2010;153(3):167-75. (In eng). DOI: 10.7326/0003-4819-153-3-201008030-00007.
- 42. Combes A, Costa MA, Trouillet JL, et al. Morbidity, mortality, and quality-of-life outcomes of patients requiring >or=14 days of mechanical ventilation. Critical care medicine 2003;31(5):1373-81. (In eng). DOI: 10.1097/01.Ccm.0000065188.87029.C3.
- 43. Herridge MS, Chu LM, Matte A, et al. The RECOVER Program: Disability Risk Groups and 1-Year Outcome after 7 or More Days of Mechanical Ventilation. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2016;194(7):831-844. (In eng). DOI: 10.1164/rccm.201512-2343OC.
- 44. Hill AD, Fowler RA, Burns KE, Rose L, Pinto RL, Scales DC. Long-Term Outcomes and Health Care Utilization after Prolonged Mechanical Ventilation. Ann Am Thorac Soc 2017;14(3):355-362. (In eng). DOI: 10.1513/AnnalsATS.201610-792OC.
- 45. Zhao W. Mass weighted urn design--A new randomization algorithm for unequal allocations. Contemporary Clinical Trials 2015;43:209-16. DOI: 10.1016/j.cct.2015.06.008.
- 46. Beigel JH, Tomashek KM, Dodd LE, et al. Remdesivir for the Treatment of Covid-19 -Final Report. New England journal of medicine 2020;383(19):1813-1826. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa2007764.

Version 1.0, 15 March 2021

- 47. Marshall JC, Murthy S, Diaz J, et al. A minimal common outcome measure set for COVID-19 clinical research. The Lancet Infectious Diseases 2020.
- 48. ARDS Definition Task Force, Ranieri VM, Rubenfeld GD, et al. Acute respiratory distress syndrome: the Berlin Definition. JAMA 2012;307(23):2526-33. DOI: 10.1001/jama.2012.5669.
- 49. Matthay MA, Thompson BT, Ware LB. Expanding the Berlin Definition of ARDS to Include Patients Treated with High Flow Nasal Oxygen. Lancet Respir Med 2021;In press.
- Beigel JH, Tomashek KM, Dodd LE. Remdesivir for the Treatment of Covid-19 -Preliminary Report. Reply. N Engl J Med 2020;383(10):994. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMc2022236.
- 51. The WHO Rapid Evidence Appraisal for COVID-19 Therapies Working Group. Association Between Administration of Systemic Corticosteroids and Mortality Among Critically III Patients With COVID-19: A Meta-analysis. JAMA 2020;324(13):1330-1341. DOI: 10.1001/jama.2020.17023.
- 52. Brower RG, Matthay MA, Morris A, Schoenfeld D, Thompson BT, Wheeler A. Ventilation with lower tidal volumes as compared with traditional tidal volumes for acute lung injury and the acute respiratory distress syndrome. New England journal of medicine 2000;342(18):1301-8. (In eng). DOI: 10.1056/nejm200005043421801.
- 53. Fan E, Del Sorbo L, Goligher EC, et al. An Official American Thoracic Society/European Society of Intensive Care Medicine/Society of Critical Care Medicine Clinical Practice Guideline: Mechanical Ventilation in Adult Patients with Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2017;195(9):1253-1263. (In eng). DOI: 10.1164/rccm.201703-0548ST.
- 54. Guérin C, Reignier J, Richard JC, et al. Prone positioning in severe acute respiratory distress syndrome. N Engl J Med 2013;368(23):2159-68. (In eng). DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1214103.
- 55. Society of Critial Care Medicine (SCCM). Adult Patients: SCC Adult Guidelines. (https://sccm.org/SurvivingSepsisCampaign/Guidelines/Adult-Patients).
- 56. Cao B, Wang Y, Wen D, et al. A Trial of Lopinavir-Ritonavir in Adults Hospitalized with Severe Covid-19. N Engl J Med 2020;382(19):1787-1799. (In eng). DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa2001282.
- 57. RECOVERY Collaborators. Lopinavir-ritonavir in patients admitted to hospital with COVID-19 (RECOVERY): a randomised, controlled, open-label, platform trial. Lancet 2020;396(10259):1345-52. (In eng). DOI: 10.1016/s0140-6736(20)32013-4.